

2025-2026 AKADEMİK YILI /
Academic Year

EĞİTİMDE KALİTE GÜVENCESİ YILLIK RAPORU

*QUALITY ASSURANCE IN
EDUCATION ANNUAL REPORT*

HUKUK FAKÜLTESİ
FACULTY OF LAW

HUKUK LİSANS PROGRAMI (LAW)
LAW UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM (LAW)



İÇİNDEKİLER / CONTENTS

1. BÖLÜM HAKKINDA / ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT	2
1.1. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARI / EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES	2
1.1.1. DANIŞMA KURULU / ADVISORY BOARD	2
1.2. LİSANS PROGRAMI / UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM	3
1.2.1. MÜFREDAT / CURRICULUM	3
1.2.2. DERSLERİN DAĞILIMI / DISTRIBUTION COURSES	5
1.3. ÖĞRENCİLER / STUDENTS	6
1.3.1. ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF STUDENTS	6
1.3.2. YABANCI ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS	6
1.4. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARI / FACULTY MEMBERS	6
1.4.1. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS	6
1.4.2. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF FACULTY MEMBERS	7
1.5. EĞİTİMDE KALİTE KOMİTESİ / COMMITTEE OF QUALITY IN EDUCATION	8
2. TÜRKİYE YÜKSEKÖĞRETİM YETERLİLİKLER ÇERÇEVESİ - ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER / TURKISH HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK - NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS	9
3. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES	10
3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES	10
3.2. ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER İLE PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI BAĞLANTI TABLOSU / NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CONNECTION TABLE	11
4. DERSLER / COURSES	12
4.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI - DERSLER TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES - COURSES TABLE	12
4.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMÜNDE KULLANILAN METRİKLER / METRICS TO BE USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	13
4.2.1. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN DEĞERLENDİRME METOTLARI / EVALUATION METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS	13
4.2.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN METOTLAR VE PERFORMANS SONUÇ DETAYLARI / METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RESULT DETAILS	30
4.3. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARI / PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RESULTS	41
4.3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE TABLE	41
4.3.2. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS ANALİZLERİ / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	43
5. DEĞERLENDİRME / EVALUATION	45
5.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT RESULTS	45
5.2. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES	45
5.2.1. Advisory Board Meeting	45
5.2.2. Student Members Meeting	46

HUKUK FAKÜLTESİ / FACULTY OF LAW

HUKUK LİSANS PROGRAMI – LAW / LAW UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM (LAW)

1. BÖLÜM HAKKINDA / ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT

1.1. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARI / EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Hukuk Fakültesi Eğitim Amaçları şunlardır: / The Educational Objectives of the Faculty of Law are as follows:

Bilkent Üniversitesi Hukuk Fakültesi mezunları, / Bilkent University Faculty of Law graduates,

- 1) Meslek hayatlarını adalet, eşitlik ve yüksek etik değerlerle sürdürürler. / Maintain their professional life with justice, equality and high ethical values.
- 2) Avukatlık, hakimlik, akademi, özel sektör ve kamu sektöründe lider vasfına sahiptirler. / Are leaders in law, judgeship, academia, private and public sectors.
- 3) Sahip oldukları hukuk formasyonu ve dil yetenekleri ile farklı kültürlerin yer aldığı bir çevrede yaşamaya, öğrenmeye ve çalışmaya devam ederler. / Continue to live, learn and work in an environment where different cultures take place with their legal formation and language skills, .
- 4) Çalışma hayatlarında, neden sonuç ilişkileri açısından, hukuk ile diğer disiplin ve mesleklerle bağlantı kurmalarını sağlayacak muhakeme yeteneğine sahiptirler. / Have the ability to reason that will enable them to connect with law and other disciplines and professions in terms of cause and effect relationships in their working life.
- 5) Sahip oldukları bilgi birikimi ile yüksek lisans ve doktora çalışmalarını başarı ile tamamlayıp, akademik kariyerinde ilerler. / Successfully complete their master's and doctorate studies and advance in their academic career with the their knowledge.

1.1.1. DANIŞMA KURULU / ADVISORY BOARD

- Prof. Dr. Ziya Akıncı, İstanbul Tahkim Merkezi / Prof. Dr. Ziya Akıncı, Istanbul Arbitration Center
- Ertuğrul Apakan, Emekli Büyükelçi / Ertuğrul Apakan, Retired Ambassador
- Prof. Dr. Yeşim M. Atamer, Öğretim Üyesi, Zürih Üniversitesi / Prof. Dr. Yeşim M. Atamer, Faculty Member, University of Zurich
- Av. Yunus Emre Bakiler, Avukat / Bilkent Hukuk 2009 Mezunu, Yazıcı Avukatlık Ortaklığı / Law. Yunus Emre Bakiler, Lawyer / Bilkent Law 2009 Graduated, Yazıcı Law Partnership
- Dr. Alparslan Bayraktar, Enerji ve Tabii Kaynaklar Bakanlığı / Dr. Alparslan Bayraktar, Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources
- Dr. Soner Cankö, SC Yönetim Danışmanlık / Dr. Soner Cankö, SC Management Consultancy
- Dr. İsmail G.Esin, Avukat, Esin Avukatlık Ortaklığı / Dr. İsmail G.Esin, Lawyer, Esin Law Partnership
- A.Afşin Gözlügöl, Doktora Öğrencisi / Bilkent Hukuk 2017 Mezunu, Hamburg Üniversitesi / A.Afşin Gözlügöl, PhD Student / Bilkent Law 2017 Graduate, University of Hamburg
- Av. Gönenç Gürkaynak, Avukat, ELIG Gürkaynak Avukatlık Bürosu / Law. Gönenç Gürkaynak, Lawyer, ELIG Gürkaynak Law Firm

- Av. Naz Bandik Hatipoğlu, Avukat / Bilkent Hukuk 2006 Mezunu, Çakmak Avukatlık Ortaklığı / *Law. Naz Bandik Hatipoğlu, Lawyer / Bilkent Law 2006 Graduate, Çakmak Law Partnership*
- Av. Ümit Hergüner, Avukat, Hergüner Bilgen Özeke Avukatlık Ortaklığı / *Law. Ümit Hergüner, Lawyer, Hergüner Bilgen Özeke Law Partnership*
- Av. Gözde Küçük, Avukat / Bilkent Hukuk 2006 Mezunu, Procter & Gamble (İsviçre) / *Law. Gözde Küçük, Lawyer / Bilkent Law 2006 Graduate, Procter & Gamble (Switzerland)*
- Av. R. Erinç Sağkan, Avukat, Türkiye Barolar Birliği / *Law. R. Erinç Sağkan, Lawyer, Union of Turkish Bar Associations*
- İrem Tümer, Bilkent Hukuk 2014 Mezunu, Birleşmiş Milletler Nüfus Fonu (UNFPA) / *İrem Tümer, Bilkent Law 2014 Graduated, United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)*
- Prof. Dr. Orkun Akseli, Öğretim Üyesi, Manchester Üniversitesi / *Prof. Dr. Orkun Akseli, Faculty Member, Manchester University*
- Av. Doğan Uygun, Avukat, Paksoy Ortak Avukat Bürosu, Bilkent Hukuk 2011 Mezunu / *Law. Doğan Uygun, Lawyer, Paksoy Law Partnership, Bilkent Law 2011 Graduate*
- Av. Bora Yazıcıoğlu, Avukat, Yazıcıoğlu Legal / *Law. Bora Yazıcıoğlu, Lawyer, Yazıcıoğlu Legal*
- Av. Burcu Tuzcu Ersin, Avukat, Şirin Tuzcu Güray Avukatlık Ortaklığı / *Law. Burcu Tuzcu Ersin, Lawyer, Avukat, Şirin Tuzcu Güray Law Partnership*

1.2. LİSANS PROGRAMI / UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM

1.2.1. MÜFREDAT / CURRICULUM


Birinci Yıl / First Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
CS 121	Bilgisayar Araçlarına Giriş / Introduction to Computer Applications and Programming	3	2	3	5
ENG 101	İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / English and Composition I	5	0	3	5
GE 100	Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / Orientation	0	0	1	2
LAW 102	Roma Hukuku / Roman Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 103	Anayasa Hukuku / Constitutional Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 105	Medeni Hukuk: Başlangıç Hükümleri ve Gerçek Kişiler / Civil Law: Introductory Provisions and Natural Persons (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
TURK 101	Türkçe I / Turkish I	0	0	2	3.5
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
ECON 103	İktisadın Temel İlkeleri / Principles of Economics	4	0	3	5
ENG 102	İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / English and Composition II	5	0	3	5
LAW 104	Türk Anayasa Hukuku / Turkish Constitutional Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 106	Tüzel Kişiler ve Aile Hukuku / Legal Persons and Family Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
MATH 264	Sosyal Bilimler İçin İstatistik / Statistics for Social Sciences	3	0	3	5
TURK 102	Türkçe II / Turkish II	0	0	2	3.5

İkinci Yıl / Second Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
GE 250	Üniversite Etkinlik Programı I / Collegiate Activities Program I	0	0	0	1
HUM 111	Kültürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I / Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I	3	0	3	5
LAW 201	Borçlar Hukuku I / Law of Obligations I (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 203	Ceza Hukuku I / Criminal Law I (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 205	İdare Hukuku / Administrative Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
PHIL 243	Toplumsal ve Siyasal Felsefe I / Social and Political Philosophy I	3	0	6	8.5
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
GE 251	Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / Collegiate Activities Program II	0	0	1	2
HIST 200	Türkiye Tarihi / History of Turkey	3	0	4	6.5
LAW 202	Borçlar Hukuku II / Law of Obligations II (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 204	Ceza Hukuku II / Criminal Law II (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 206	İdari Yargı / Administrative Judiciary (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
PHIL 244	Toplumsal ve Siyasal Felsefe II / Social and Political Philosophy II	3	0	6	8.5

Üçüncü Yıl / Third Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
LAW 301	Medeni Usul Hukuku I / Civil Procedure I (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 303	Uluslararası Kamu Hukuku / Public International Law	3	0	3	5
LAW 305	Eşya Hukuku I / Law of Property I (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 307	Ticari İşletme Hukuku / Commercial Enterprise Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 309	Borçlar Hukuku Özel Hükümler / Law of Obligations Special Provisions (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
MAN 211	Muhasebe İlkeleri I / Principles of Accounting I	3	0	3	5
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod / Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
LAW 216	Anglo-Amerikan Hukukuna Giriş / Introduction to Anglo-American Law	2	0	2	3.5
LAW 302	Medeni Usul Hukuku II / Civil Procedure II (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 306	Eşya Hukuku II / Law of Property II (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 308	Şirketler Hukuku / Company Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 358	Ceza Hukuku Özel Hükümler / Criminal Law Special Provisions (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
MAN 216	Finansman İlkeleri / Elements of Finance	3	0	3	5
	Bölüm Seçmeli Dersi / Department Elective			3	5

Dördüncü Yıl/ Fourth Year					
Güz Dönemi/ Fall Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Saatler/ Hours		Kredi/ Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo/ Diğer / Lab/ Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
LAW 304	Uluslararası Özel Hukuk / Private International Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 401	Kıymetli Evrak Hukuku / Law of Negotiable Instruments (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 403	Miras Hukuku / Inheritance Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 411	Ceza Usul Hukuku / Criminal Procedure (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 412	İş Hukuku / Labor Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
	Bölüm Seçmeli Dersi / Department Elective			3	5
Bahar Dönemi/ Spring Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı/ Course Name	Saatler/ Hours		Kredi/ Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo/ Diğer / Lab/ Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
LAW 405	Uluslararası İnsan Hakları Hukuku / International Human Rights Law	3	0	3	5
LAW 406	Uluslararası Ticari Tahkim / International Commercial Arbitration	2	0	2	3.5
LAW 407	Vergi Hukuku / Tax Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
LAW 410	İcra ve İflas Hukuku / Enforcement and Bankruptcy Law (in Turkish)	3	0	3	5
	Bölüm Seçmeli Dersi (2) / Department Elective (2)			6	10

1.2.2. DERSLERİN DAĞILIMI / DISTRIBUTION COURSES



Grafik.1.2.2. Hukuk Lisans Programı Müfredatındaki Derslerin Dağılımı / **Graphic.1.2.2.** Distribution of Courses in the Law Undergraduate Program Curriculum

1.3. ÖĞRENCİLER / STUDENTS

1.3.1. ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF STUDENTS

Öğrenci Sayıları / Number of Students	
Hazırlık / Prep	99
1. Sınıf / 1. Class	171
2. Sınıf / 2. Class	158
3. Sınıf / 3. Class	172
4. Sınıf / 4. Class	196
Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Total Number of Students	796

Tablo.1.3.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Hukuk Lisans Programı Öğrenci Sayıları / **Table.1.3.1.** Number of Students in Law Undergraduate Program for the 2025-2026 Academic Year

1.3.2. YABANCI ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS

- 2025-2026 Akademik Yılında Hukuk Lisans Programında yabancı öğrenci eğitim görmemiştir.
/ No foreign students studied in the Law Undergraduate Program in the 2025-2026 Academic Year.

1.4. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARI / FACULTY MEMBERS

1.4.1. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS

Öğretim Elemanı Sayıları / Number of Faculty Members	
Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	10
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	6
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	18
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	9
Araştırma Görevlisi / Research Assistant	2
Toplam Öğretim Elemanı Sayısı / Total Number of Faculty Members	45

Tablo.1.4.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılında Hukuk Lisans Programı Kadrolu ve Yarı Zamanlı Öğretim Elemanı Sayıları / **Table.1.4.1.** Number of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty Members in the Law Undergraduate Program in the 2025-2026 Academic Year

1.4.2. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF FACULTY MEMBERS

Öğretim Elemanının Unvanı / Title of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Çalışma Şekli / Work- mode of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Adı - Soyadı / Name- Surname of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Unvanı / Title of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Çalışma Şekli / Work- mode of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Adı - Soyadı / Name- Surname of Faculty Member
Araştırma Görevlisi / Research Assistant	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Zeynep Begüm Gökçek	Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Elif Oral
Araştırma Görevlisi / Research Assistant	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Murat Aybars Tunca	Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Mehmet Emir Göka
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Gülüm Özçelik	Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Murat Gürel
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Elif Küzeci Erol	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Başak Zeynep Baysal Zeki
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Emel Hanağası	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Osman Berat Gürzumar
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Dilşad Çigdem Sever	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Ece Göztepe Çelebi
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Fulya Korkmaz	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Mehmet Çağlar Manavgat
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Ufuk Tekin	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Vedat Buz
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Elvin Evrim Dalkılıç	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Bilgin Tiryakioğlu
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Pınar Altınok Ormancı	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Turgut Tan
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Haluk Toroslu	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Ali Dursun Ulusoy
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Şemsi Barış Özçelik	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Levent Akın
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Hüseyin Can Aksoy	Profesör Doktor / Professor Doctor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Muhittin Astarlı
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Pınar Çağlayan Aksoy	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Ali Uçar
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Zülfiye Yılmaz Yamaç	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Cemre Polat
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Ayşe Dicle Ergin	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Deniz Baytemür Köksal
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Serkan Yolcu	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Jakub Urbanik
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Elif Gökşen Köstem	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Marzena Ewa Wojtczak
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Nazlı Nilay Dayanç Kuzeyli	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Muhittin Gönenç Hacaloğlu
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Abdurrahman Kayıklık	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Paolo Michele Patocchi
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Çağrı Mardin	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Pınar Başak Coşkun
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Alara Efsun Yazıcıoğlu	Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Sema Taşpınar Ayvaz
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Nurullah Bal			

Tablo.1.4.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılında Hukuk Lisans Programı Kadrolu ve Yarı Zamanlı Öğretim Elemanı Listesi / **Table.1.4.2.** List of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty Members in the Law Undergraduate Program in the 2025-2026 Academic Year

1.5. EĞİTİMDE KALİTE KOMİTESİ / *COMMITTEE OF QUALITY IN EDUCATION*

- ❖ Pınar Çağlayan Aksoy
- ❖ Başak Baysal
- ❖ Abdurrahman Kayıklık

2. TÜRKİYE YÜKSEKÖĞRETİM YETERLİLİKLER ÇERÇEVESİ - ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER / *TURKISH HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK - NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS*

Basic Field Qualifications for Law (Academic - Weighted) - 6th Level - Bachelor's						
LEVEL OF THEQF	KNOWLEDGE -Theoretical -Factual	SKILLS -Cognitive -Practical	COMPETENCIES			
			Ability to Work Independently and Take Responsibility	Learning Competence	Communication and Social Competence	Field-Specific Competence
6th Level Bachelor's	K1. To list the concepts and institutions related to various disciplines of law, and to understand the relation between these concepts and institutions. K2. To have the knowledge of legal methodology and methods of interpretation.	S1. To examine, interpret and evaluate legislative texts, adjudications and academic sources through methods provided by legal methodology. S2. To solve the	W1. To take responsibility as an individual or as a member of a team for resolving complex, unforeseeable issues encountered in the	L1. To follow and assess the developments in legal theory and the practice of law. L2. To evaluate with a critical approach his knowledge and skills in the field of law.	C1. To inform respective people and institutions about legal issues, and to express his ideas and suggest solutions to problems in written and spoken form in a reasoned manner. C2. To plan and launch professional projects and activities for one's social environment with a sense of social responsibility. C3. To follow developments in the field of law, and to communicate with one's colleagues in a foreign language at least at B1 General Level specified by European Language Portfolio. C4. To use computer software and information and communication technologies at least at Advanced Level of European Computer Driving Licence required for the field of law.	F1. To protect and defend the core principles stated at the beginning of the Turkish Constitution which underlines the essence of the Republic of Turkey as a democratic, secular and social law state, in line with an understanding of public peace of the Republic of Turkey, national solidarity, justice, respect for human rights, and loyalty to Atatürk's principle of nationalism. F2. To possess the notions of honesty, justice and ethical understanding expected from a practitioner of law. F3. To resolve concrete disputes justly, to keep track of legal regulations, and thus, have the foundation to take part within the judgement process. F4. To internalise the universal principles of law, and to have the competence of perceiving and resolving legal issues in terms of de lege lata and de lege feranda.
EQF-LLL: 6th Level	K3. To stay informed about the legal regulations, verdicts of the judicial bodies, and their progress in every area of law, and to be informed about the scholarly evaluation conducted on them.	problems encountered in the practice of law by means of legislative texts, adjudications and academic sources. S3. To resolve legal disputes by reviewing legislative texts, adjudications and views in the doctrine.	practice of law. W2. To plan and manage activities for those who are working under his control, regarding the professional development of individuals within the frame of a project.	L3. To develop a positive attitude towards lifelong learning.		
QF-EHEA: 1st Cycle	K4. To list the issues encountered in the practice of law.					

3. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES

3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES

- a. Eleştirel düşünce becerileri kazanmak suretiyle kamu hukuku kavram ve kurumları hakkında bir anlayış geliştirir. / *Able to demonstrate an understanding of the concepts and institutions of public law by developing critical thinking skills.*
- b. Ulusal ve uluslararası düzenlemeleri, yargı kararlarını ve akademik çalışmaları içeren hukuki araştırma yapar. / *Able to research including national and international regulational, judicial decisions and academic studies.*
- c. Yetkin bir hukuki analizde bulunabilmek için dava, usul ve etik kurallara ilişkin prensipleri uygular. / *Able to apply key principles of litigation, procedure and ethical rules to perform competent legal analysis.*
- d. Özel hukuk bilgilerinden ve yargı kararlarından faydalanarak hukuki sorunları analiz eder. / *Able to analyze legal disputes by applying the knowledge of private law and verdicts of the judiciary.*
- e. Hukukun uygulanmasında ortaya çıkan ihtilafları değerlendirir. / *Able to evaluate the disputes encountered in the practice of law.*
- f. Çağdaş hukukun zorlayıcı boyutlarını değerlendirir. / *Able to assess challenging dimensions of contemporary law.*
- g. Fikirleri, düşünceleri etkili bir şekilde organize edebilir ve bunları çeşitli izleyicilere iletmek için gerekli yazma ve iletişim becerilerini geliştirebilir. / *Develop writing and communication skills necessary to effectively organize ideas thoughts and to convey them to various audience.*
- h. Küreselleşmenin zorluklarını dikkate alan hukuki bir yaklaşım oluşturur. / *Able to create a legal approach facing the challenge of globalization.*
- i. Öğrenciler, derslerin yanı sıra çeşitli ve yaratıcı, sanatsal, kültürel, sportif ve entelektüel faaliyetlere katılarak kampüs hayatından daha fazla faydalanırlar. / *Take advantage of the campus life where students are engaged in diversity, creativity and commitment outside coursework through artistic, cultural, sports and intellectual activities.*
- j. Takım üyesi olarak çalışabilir. / *Able to function as a team member.*
- k. Bilimin modern düşünce yöntemlerini ve araçlarını kullanarak küresel zorluklar için yaratıcı çözümler geliştirir. / *Able to use modern methods of scientific thought and tools to develop creative solutions for global challenges.*

3.2. ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER İLE PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI BAĞLANTI TABLOSU / NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CONNECTION TABLE

Ulusal Yeterlilikler / National Competencies	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes										
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)
K1	✓				✓						
K2			✓								
K3				✓							
K4						✓					
S1		✓									
S2				✓							
S3		✓									
W1										✓	
W2									✓	✓	
L1								✓			
L2									✓		
L3									✓		
C1					✓						
C2									✓		
C3							✓				
C4											✓
F1	✓										
F2	✓		✓								
F3			✓								
F4								✓			

Tablo.3.2. Ulusal Yeterlilikler ile Hukuk Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Bağlantı Tablosu
/ **Table.3.2.** National Qualifications and Law Undergraduate Program Program Outcomes Link Table

4. DERSLER / COURSES

4.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI - DERSLER TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES - COURSES TABLE

Dersler / Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes											Dersler / Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes										
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k		a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k
CS 121											✓	LAW 303			✓		✓		✓	✓			
ECON 103						✓		✓				LAW 304				✓	✓			✓			
ENG 101							✓					LAW 305		✓	✓	✓	✓						
ENG 102							✓					LAW 306		✓	✓	✓	✓						
GE 100									✓	✓	✓	LAW 307			✓	✓	✓						
GE 250									✓	✓		LAW 308			✓	✓	✓						
GE 251									✓	✓		LAW 309			✓	✓	✓						
HIST 200									✓	✓		LAW 358	✓		✓		✓						
HUM 111									✓			LAW 401			✓	✓	✓						
LAW 102				✓	✓	✓						LAW 403			✓	✓	✓						
LAW 103	✓	✓			✓	✓						LAW 405	✓	✓					✓				
LAW 104	✓		✓		✓							LAW 406			✓			✓	✓	✓			
LAW 105		✓		✓								LAW 407	✓				✓	✓					
LAW 106			✓	✓					✓			LAW 410			✓	✓	✓						
LAW 201		✓		✓	✓			✓				LAW 411	✓		✓		✓	✓					
LAW 202		✓		✓	✓	✓						LAW 412				✓	✓						
LAW 203	✓		✓		✓	✓						MAN 211							✓				
LAW 204	✓		✓		✓							MAN 216					✓		✓				
LAW 205	✓		✓		✓							MATH 264											✓
LAW 206			✓		✓							PHIL 243									✓		
LAW 216						✓	✓	✓				PHIL 244									✓		
LAW 301				✓	✓							TURK 101									✓		
LAW 302			✓	✓	✓							TURK 102									✓		

Tablo.4.1. Hukuk Lisans Programı Program Çıktılarının Müfredat Dersleri ile Eşleşme Tablosu / **Table.4.1.** Law Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes and Courses Table

4.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMÜNDE KULLANILAN METRİKLER / METRICS TO BE USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

4.2.1. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN DEĞERLENDİRME METOTLARI // EVALUATION METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

4.2.1.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm: Practical (skills)	Midterm: Practical (skills)	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work
CS 121	k	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		Lab work	Homework	Homework	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
		2	5	5	30	100	M1	65	50			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade						
ECON 103	f	50	50	100	M3	40						
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade						
	h	50	50	100	M3	40						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
ENG 101	g	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M1	70	75
Course Code	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
ENG 102	g	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	70	70	
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)						
GE100	i	100	100	M1	12	80						

Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
GE 100	j	100	100	M1	12	80				
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	k	100	100	M1	12	80				
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
GE 251	j	100	100	M1	70	70				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
HIST 200	i	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75		
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	j	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
HUM 111	i	30	30	30	10	100	M1	60	75	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
LAW 102	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50			
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50			
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	f	40	60	100	M1	60	50			

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 105	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 201	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	h	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 203	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	30	70	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	f	30	70	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 205	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
LAW 301	d	100	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	100	100	M1	60	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 303	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
h	40	60	100	M1	60	50		

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
LAW 304	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	h	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
LAW 305	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 307	c	35	60	5	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	35	60	5	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	35	60	5	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 309	c	50	50	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	50	50	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	100	100	M1	60	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
LAW 401	c	100	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	d	100	100	M1	60	50		
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	e	100	100	M1	60	50		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 403	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 403	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 405	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 407	a	30	70	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	30	70	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	f	30	70	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 411	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
LAW 412	d	60	40	100	M1	60	50					
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	e	60	40	100	M1	60	50					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	Quiz	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
MAN 211	g	35	40	5	20	100	M1	35	70			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
MAN 216	e	25	40	30	5	100	M1	40	60			
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	25	40	30	5	100	M1	40	60			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Homework	Final:Essay/ written	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
MATH 264	k	40	10	40	10	100	M1	40	65			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Short essay	Short essay	Short essay	Presentations	Presentations	Presentations	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
PHIL 243	i	15	20	15	15	20	15	100	M1	70	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Short essay	Short essay	Short essay	Presentations	Presentations	Presentations	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
PHIL 244	i	15	15	20	20	15	15	100	M1	70	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 101	i	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 102	i	70	30	100	M1	70	60	

Ölçümlerde Kullanılan Metotlarla İlgili Açıklamalar / *Explanations About the Methods Used in Measurements*

Bütün metotlar için sadece dersi geçen öğrencilerin notları kullanılacaktır. / *For all methods, only the grades of students who pass the course will be used.*

- G: Öğrencilerin ilgili program çıktısını kazanacağı öngörülen minimum not / *G: Minimum grade at which students attain the relevant program outcome*
- T: Dersin ilgili program çıktısının kazanımı için yeterli sayılacağı eşik öğrenci yüzdesi / *T: Threshold percentage of students for the course to be considered sufficient for the attainment of the relevant program outcome*
- M1: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az G olması / *M1: T% of the students to have a semester total of at least G*
- M2: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az bölümdeki dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması/ *M2: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least that of the department average*
- M3: Öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalamasının en az G olması / *M3: Average semester total of students of the department to be at least G*
- M4: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az tüm bölümlerdeki tüm öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / *M4: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least average semester total of all students from all departments*

4.2.1.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm: Practical (skills)	Midterm: Practical (skills)	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	Lab work	
CS 121	k	20	20	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
		Lab work	Homework	Homework	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
		2	5	5	30	100	M1	65	50				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade							
ECON 103	f	50	50	100	M3	40							
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Midterm	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade							
	h	50	50	100	M3	40							
Course Code	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
ENG 101	g	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M1	70	75	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
ENG 102	g	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	40	80		
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
GE 100	i	100	100	M1	12	80							
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
	j	100	100	M1	12	80							

Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
GE 100	k	100	100	M1	12	80				
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
GE 251	i	100	100	M1	70	70				
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	j	100	100	M1	70	70				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
HIST 200	i	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75		
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	j	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
HUM 111	i	30	30	30	10	100	M1	60	75	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
LAW 104	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50			
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50			
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50			

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 106	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	i	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 202	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	f	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 204	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
LAW 204	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
LAW 206	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50				
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Performance	Project	Project	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 216	f	5	5	5	40	45	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Performance	Project	Project	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	5	5	5	40	45	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Performance	Project	Project	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	h	5	5	5	40	45	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
LAW 302	c	60	40	100	M1	60	50				
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	d	60	40	100	M1	60	50				
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	e	60	40	100	M1	60	50				

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 306	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm: Written	Final:written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 308	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm: Written	Final:written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm: Written	Final:written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 358	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	c	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 405	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	b	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	g	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
LAW 406	c	100	100	M1	40	30		
	Program Outputs	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	f	100	100	M1	40	30		
	Program Outputs	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	g	100	100	M1	40	30		
	Program Outputs	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	h	100	100	M1	40	30		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
LAW 407	a	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	e	40	60	100	M1	60	50	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Open- Book	Final:Open- book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	f	40	60	100	M1	60	50	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
LAW 410	c	60	40	100	M1	60	50					
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	d	60	40	100	M1	60	50					
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Midterm:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	e	60	40	100	M1	60	50					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
MAN 216	e	30	35	30	5	100	M1	35	60			
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	g	30	35	30	5	100	M1	35	60			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Homework	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
MATH 264	k	40	20	40	100	M1	40	65				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Short essay	Short essay	Short essay	Presentations	Presentations	Presentations	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
PHIL 243	i	15	15	20	20	15	15	100	M1	70	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Short essay	Short essay	Short essay	Presentations	Presentations	Presentations	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
PHIL 244	i	15	15	20	15	20	15	100	M1	70	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Sınavı	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 101	i	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Sınavı	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 102	i	70	30	100	M1	70	60	

Ölçümlerde Kullanılan Metotlarla İlgili Açıklamalar / *Explanations About the Methods Used in Measurements*

Bütün metotlar için sadece dersi geçen öğrencilerin notları kullanılacaktır. / *For all methods, only the grades of students who pass the course will be used.*

- G: Öğrencilerin ilgili program çıktısını kazanacağı öngörülen minimum not / *G: Minimum grade at which students attain the relevant program outcome*
- T: Dersin ilgili program çıktısının kazanımı için yeterli sayılacağı eşik öğrenci yüzdesi / *T: Threshold percentage of students for the course to be considered sufficient for the attainment of the relevant program outcome*
- M1: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az G olması / *M1: T% of the students to have a semester total of at least G*
- M2: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az bölümdeki dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması/ *M2: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least that of the department average*
- M3: Öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalamasının en az G olması / *M3: Average semester total of students of the department to be at least G*
- M4: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az tüm bölümlerdeki tüm öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / *M4: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least average semester total of all students from all departments*

4.2.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN METOTLAR VE PERFORMANS SONUÇ DETAYLARI / METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RESULT DETAILS

4.2.2.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort./ Average (All Std.)	Dept Öğrenci Ort./ Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
CS 121 - Bilgisayar Uygulamalarına ve Programlamaya Giriş / CS 121 - Introduction to Computer Applications and Programming													
k	M1	65	50	386	105	81.86	82.43	332	92	86.01	87.62	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.62
ECON 103 - İktisadın Temel İlkeleri / ECON 103 - Principles of Economics													
f	M3	40		126	42	77.41	80.5	123	40	97.62	95.24	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.5
h	M3	40		126	42	77.41	80.5	123	40	97.62	95.24	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.5
ENG 101 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / ENG 101 - English and Composition I													
g	M1	70	75	1478	106	83.35	81.04	1377	97	93.17	91.51	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	91.51
ENG 102 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / ENG 102 - English and Composition II													
g	M1	70	70	535	32	86.02	85.42	521	31	97.38	96.88	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	96.88
GE 100 - Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / GE 100 - Orientation													
i	M1	12	80	1518	106	96.72	96.13	1518	106	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
j	M1	12	80	1518	106	96.72	96.13	1518	106	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
k	M1	12	80	1518	106	96.72	96.13	1518	106	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
GE 251 - Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / GE 251 - Collegiate Activities Program II													
j	M1	70	70	889	85	92.5	94.47	800	79	89.99	92.94	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	92.94
HIST 200 - Türkiye Tarihi / HIST 200 - History of Turkey													
i	M1	70	75	1022	39	91.01	91.92	1006	39	98.43	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
j	M1	70	75	1022	39	91.01	91.92	1006	39	98.43	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
HUM 111 - Kùltürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I/ HUM 111 - Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I													
i	M1	60	75	1273	122	83.65	82.31	1249	121	98.11	99.18	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	99.18
LAW 102 - Roma Hukuku / LAW 102 - Roman Law													
d	M1	60	50	95	91	76.94	76.7	84	80	88.42	87.91	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.91
e	M1	60	50	95	91	76.94	76.7	84	80	88.42	87.91	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.91
f	M1	60	50	95	91	76.94	76.7	84	80	88.42	87.91	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.91
LAW 105 - Medeni Hukuk: Başlangıç Hükümleri ve Gerçek Kişiler / LAW 105 - Civil Law: Introductory Provisions and Natural Persons (in Turkish)													
b	M1	60	50	93	90	68.85	69.26	65	64	69.89	71.11	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	71.11
d	M1	60	50	93	90	68.85	69.26	65	64	69.89	71.11	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	71.11
LAW 201 - Borçlar Hukuku I / LAW 201 - Law of Obligations I (in Turkish)													
b	M1	60	50	129	129	65.66	65.66	78	78	60.47	60.47	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	60.47
d	M1	60	50	129	129	65.66	65.66	78	78	60.47	60.47	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	60.47
e	M1	60	50	129	129	65.66	65.66	78	78	60.47	60.47	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	60.47
h	M1	60	50	129	129	65.66	65.66	78	78	60.47	60.47	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	60.47
LAW 203 - Ceza Hukuku I / LAW 203 - Criminal Law I (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	166	165	72.52	72.53	148	147	89.16	89.09	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	89.09
c	M1	60	50	166	165	72.52	72.53	148	147	89.16	89.09	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	89.09
e	M1	60	50	166	165	72.8	72.83	144	143	86.75	86.67	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.67
f	M1	60	50	166	165	72.8	72.83	144	143	86.75	86.67	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.67

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
LAW 205 - İdare Hukuku / LAW 205 - Administrative Law (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	156	153	74.08	74.16	138	136	88.46	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
c	M1	60	50	156	153	74.08	74.16	138	136	88.46	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
e	M1	60	50	156	153	74.08	74.16	138	136	88.46	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
LAW 301 - Medeni Usul Hukuku I / LAW 301 - Civil Procedure I (in Turkish)													
d	M1	60	50	161	161	65.16	65.16	107	107	66.46	66.46	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	66.46
e	M1	60	50	161	161	55.62	55.62	70	70	43.48	43.48	İyileştirmeye Ağı / Insufficient!	43.48
LAW 303 - Uluslararası Kamu Hukuku / LAW 303 - Public International Law													
c	M1	60	50	169	164	73.31	73.35	135	131	79.88	79.88	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	79.88
e	M1	60	50	169	164	73.31	73.35	135	131	79.88	79.88	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	79.88
g	M1	60	50	169	164	73.31	73.35	135	131	79.88	79.88	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	79.88
h	M1	60	50	169	164	73.31	73.35	135	131	79.88	79.88	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	79.88
LAW 304 - Uluslararası Özel Hukuk / LAW 304 - Private International Law (in Turkish)													
d	M1	60	50	156	156	79.23	79.23	142	142	91.03	91.03	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	91.03
e	M1	60	50	156	156	79.23	79.23	142	142	91.03	91.03	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	91.03
h	M1	60	50	156	156	79.23	79.23	142	142	91.03	91.03	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	91.03
LAW 305 - Eşya Hukuku I / LAW 305 - Law of Property I (in Turkish)													
b	M1	60	50	152	152	77.4	77.4	135	135	88.82	88.82	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.82
c	M1	60	50	152	152	77.4	77.4	135	135	88.82	88.82	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.82
d	M1	60	50	152	152	77.4	77.4	135	135	88.82	88.82	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.82
e	M1	60	50	152	152	77.4	77.4	135	135	88.82	88.82	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.82

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort./ Average (All Std.)	Dept Öğrenci Ort./ Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
LAW 307 - Ticari İşletme Hukuku / LAW 307 - Commercial Enterprise Law (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	178	178	69.7	69.7	133	133	74.72	74.72	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	74.72
d	M1	60	50	178	178	69.7	69.7	133	133	74.72	74.72	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	74.72
e	M1	60	50	178	178	69.7	69.7	133	133	74.72	74.72	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	74.72
LAW 309 - Borçlar Hukuku Özel Hükümler / LAW 309 - Law of Obligations Special Provisions (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	157	157	79.01	79.01	141	141	89.81	89.81	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	89.81
d	M1	60	50	157	157	79.01	79.01	141	141	89.81	89.81	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	89.81
e	M1	60	50	157	157	77.23	77.23	131	131	83.44	83.44	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.44
LAW 401 - Kıymetli Evrak Hukuku / LAW 401 - Law of Negotiable Instruments (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	165	165	77.24	77.24	140	140	84.85	84.85	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.85
d	M1	60	50	165	165	71.31	71.31	130	130	78.79	78.79	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.79
e	M1	60	50	165	165	71.31	71.31	130	130	78.79	78.79	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.79
LAW 403 - Miras Hukuku / LAW 403 - Inheritance Law (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	160	160	74.08	74.08	150	150	93.75	93.75	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	93.75
d	M1	60	50	160	160	74.08	74.08	150	150	93.75	93.75	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	93.75
e	M1	60	50	160	160	74.08	74.08	150	150	93.75	93.75	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	93.75
LAW 405 - Uluslararası İnsan Hakları Hukuku / LAW 405 - International Human Rights Law													
a	M1	60	50	34	32	74.63	73.75	26	24	76.47	75	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	75
b	M1	60	50	34	32	74.63	73.75	26	24	76.47	75	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	75
g	M1	60	50	34	32	74.63	73.75	26	24	76.47	75	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	75

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort./ Average (All Std.)	Dept Öğrenci Ort./ Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
LAW 407 - Vergi Hukuku / LAW 407 - Tax Law (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	42	42	66.38	66.38	27	27	64.29	64.29	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	64.29
e	M1	60	50	42	42	66.38	66.38	27	27	64.29	64.29	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	64.29
f	M1	60	50	42	42	66.38	66.38	27	27	64.29	64.29	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	64.29
LAW 411 - Ceza Usul Hukuku / LAW 411 - Criminal Procedure (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	157	157	69.75	69.75	127	127	80.89	80.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.89
c	M1	60	50	157	157	69.75	69.75	127	127	80.89	80.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.89
e	M1	60	50	157	157	69.75	69.75	127	127	80.89	80.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.89
f	M1	60	50	157	157	69.75	69.75	127	127	80.89	80.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.89
LAW 412 - İş Hukuku / LAW 412 - Labor Law (in Turkish)													
d	M1	60	50	153	153	71.33	71.33	133	133	86.93	86.93	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.93
e	M1	60	50	153	153	71.33	71.33	133	133	86.93	86.93	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.93
MAN 211 - Muhasebe İlkeleri I / MAN 211 - Principles of Accounting I													
g	M1	35	70	111	107	70.39	70.24	111	107	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
MAN 216 - Finansman İlkeleri / MAN 216 - Elements of Finance													
e	M1	40	60	90	70	70.31	70.42	90	70	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
g	M1	40	60	90	70	70.31	70.42	90	70	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
MATH 264 - Sosyal Bilimler İçin İstatistik / MATH 264 - Statistics for Social Sciences													
k	M1	40	65	140	22	55.08	45.88	105	12	75	54.55	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	54.55

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans/ Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı/ Success Ratio
PHIL 243 - Toplumsal ve Siyasal Felsefe I / PHIL 243 - Social and Political Philosophy I													
i	M1	70	60	429	132	85.67	86.08	413	127	96.27	96.21	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	96.21
PHIL 244 - Toplumsal ve Siyasal Felsefe II / PHIL 244 - Social and Political Philosophy II													
i	M1	70	60	158	39	88.28	87.98	157	39	99.37	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
TURK 101 - Türkçe I / TURK 101 - Turkish I													
i	M1	70	60	1373	112	87.67	86.73	1347	110	98.11	98.21	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	98.21
TURK 102 - Türkçe II / TURK 102 - Turkish II													
i	M1	70	60	592	38	88.83	89.6	588	38	99.32	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

4.2.2.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
CS 121 - Bilgisayar Uygulamalarına ve Programlamaya Giriş / CS 121 - Introduction to Computer Applications and Programming													
k	M1	65	50	406	54	77.56	75.38	321	40	79.06	74.07	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	74.07
ECON 103 - İktisadın Temel İlkeleri / ECON 103 - Principles of Economics													
f	M3	40		247	132	76.97	77.42	243	131	98.38	99.24	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.42
h	M3	40		247	132	76.97	77.42	243	131	98.38	99.24	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.42
ENG 101 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / ENG 101 - English and Composition I													
g	M1	70	75	672	50	81.86	80.43	623	44	92.71	88.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.00
ENG 102 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / ENG 102 - English and Composition II													
g	M1	40	80	1314	99	85.76	83.28	1314	99	100.00	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
GE 100 - Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / GE 100 - Orientation													
i	M1	12	80	545	43	95.50	95.12	545	43	100.00	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
j	M1	12	80	545	43	95.50	95.12	545	43	100.00	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
k	M1	12	80	545	43	95.50	95.12	545	43	100.00	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
GE 251 - Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / GE 251 - Collegiate Activities Program II													
i	M1	70	70	1401	100	93.93	93.05	1301	94	92.86	94.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	94.00
j	M1	70	70	1401	100	93.93	93.05	1301	94	92.86	94.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	94.00
HIST 200 - Türkiye Tarihi / HIST 200 - History of Turkey													
i	M1	70	75	917	105	91.63	92.45	900	103	98.15	98.10	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	98.10
j	M1	70	75	917	105	91.63	92.45	900	103	98.15	98.10	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	98.10

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
HUM 111 - Kùltürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I / HUM 111 - Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I													
i	M1	60	75	504	40	81.53	76.62	483	37	95.83	92.50	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	92.50
LAW 104 - Türk Anayasa Hukuku / LAW 104 - Turkish Constitutional Law (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	208	126	64.89	66.44	125	80	60.10	63.49	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	63.49
c	M1	60	50	208	126	64.89	66.44	125	80	60.10	63.49	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	63.49
e	M1	60	50	208	126	64.89	66.44	125	80	60.10	63.49	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	63.49
LAW 106 - Tüzel Kişiler ve Aile Hukuku / LAW 106 - Legal Persons and Family Law (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	142	141	77.72	77.68	123	122	86.62	86.52	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.52
d	M1	60	50	142	141	77.72	77.68	123	122	86.62	86.52	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.52
i	M1	60	50	142	141	77.72	77.68	123	122	86.62	86.52	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.52
LAW 202 - Borçlar Hukuku II / LAW 202 - Law of Obligations II (in Turkish)													
b	M1	60	50	114	114	67.69	67.69	80	80	70.18	70.18	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	70.18
d	M1	60	50	114	114	67.69	67.69	80	80	70.18	70.18	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	70.18
e	M1	60	50	114	114	67.69	67.69	80	80	70.18	70.18	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	70.18
f	M1	60	50	114	114	67.69	67.69	80	80	70.18	70.18	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	70.18
LAW 204 - Ceza Hukuku II / LAW 204 - Criminal Law II (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	172	170	71.72	72.09	153	153	88.95	90.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	90.00
c	M1	60	50	172	170	71.72	72.09	153	153	88.95	90.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	90.00
e	M1	60	50	172	170	71.72	72.09	153	153	88.95	90.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	90.00
LAW 206 - İdari Yargı / LAW 206 - Administrative Judiciary (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	154	154	76.02	76.02	146	146	94.81	94.81	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	94.81
e	M1	60	50	154	154	76.02	76.02	146	146	94.81	94.81	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	94.81

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
LAW 216 - Anglo-Amerikan Hukukuna Giriş / LAW 216 - Introduction to Anglo-American Law													
f	M1	60	50	185	181	78.90	79.39	173	170	93.51	93.92	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	93.92
g	M1	60	50	185	181	78.90	79.39	173	170	93.51	93.92	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	93.92
h	M1	60	50	185	181	78.90	79.39	173	170	93.51	93.92	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	93.92
LAW 302 - Medeni Usul Hukuku II / LAW 302 - Civil Procedure II (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	153	153	71.42	71.42	129	129	84.31	84.31	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.31
d	M1	60	50	153	153	71.42	71.42	129	129	84.31	84.31	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.31
e	M1	60	50	153	153	71.42	71.42	129	129	84.31	84.31	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.31
LAW 306 - Eşya Hukuku II / LAW 306 - Law of Property II (in Turkish)													
b	M1	60	50	173	173	73.65	73.65	143	143	82.66	82.66	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.66
c	M1	60	50	173	173	73.65	73.65	143	143	82.66	82.66	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.66
d	M1	60	50	173	173	73.65	73.65	143	143	82.66	82.66	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.66
e	M1	60	50	173	173	73.65	73.65	143	143	82.66	82.66	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.66
LAW 308 - Şirketler Hukuku / LAW 308 - Company Law (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	165	163	77.64	78.22	142	142	86.06	87.12	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.12
d	M1	60	50	165	163	77.64	78.22	142	142	86.06	87.12	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.12
e	M1	60	50	165	163	77.64	78.22	142	142	86.06	87.12	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.12
LAW 358 - Ceza Hukuku Özel Hükümler / LAW 358 - Criminal Law Special Provisions (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	156	154	77.44	77.64	149	147	95.51	95.45	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	95.45
c	M1	60	50	156	154	77.44	77.64	149	147	95.51	95.45	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	95.45
e	M1	60	50	156	154	77.44	77.64	149	147	95.51	95.45	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	95.45

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
LAW 405 - Uluslararası İnsan Hakları Hukuku / LAW 405 - International Human Rights Law													
a	M1	60	50	151	150	77.03	77.03	137	136	90.73	90.67	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	90.67
b	M1	60	50	151	150	77.03	77.03	137	136	90.73	90.67	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	90.67
g	M1	60	50	151	150	77.03	77.03	137	136	90.73	90.67	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	90.67
LAW 406 - Uluslararası Ticari Tahkim / LAW 406 - International Commercial Arbitration													
c	M1	40	30	113	110	73.73	75.08	111	110	98.23	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
f	M1	40	30	113	110	73.73	75.08	111	110	98.23	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
g	M1	40	30	113	110	73.73	75.08	111	110	98.23	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
h	M1	40	30	113	110	73.73	75.08	111	110	98.23	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
LAW 407 - Vergi Hukuku / LAW 407 - Tax Law (in Turkish)													
a	M1	60	50	108	106	70.42	71.69	89	89	82.41	83.96	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.96
e	M1	60	50	108	106	70.42	71.69	89	89	82.41	83.96	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.96
f	M1	60	50	108	106	70.42	71.69	89	89	82.41	83.96	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.96
LAW 410 - İcra ve İflas Hukuku / LAW 410 - Enforcement and Bankruptcy Law (in Turkish)													
c	M1	60	50	170	170	77.94	77.94	157	157	92.35	92.35	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	92.35
d	M1	60	50	170	170	77.94	77.94	157	157	92.35	92.35	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	92.35
e	M1	60	50	170	170	77.94	77.94	157	157	92.35	92.35	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	92.35
MAN 216 - Finansman İlkeleri / MAN 216 - Elements of Finance													
e	M1	35	60	169	138	74.27	73.30	169	138	100.00	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00
g	M1	35	60	169	138	74.27	73.30	169	138	100.00	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
MATH 264 - Sosyal Bilimler İçin İstatistik / MATH 264 - Statistics for Social Sciences													
k	M1	40	65	219	113	55.35	57.63	184	95	84.02	84.07	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.07
PHIL 243 - Toplumsal ve Siyasal Felsefe I / PHIL 243 - Social and Political Philosophy I													
i	M1	70	60	122	24	85.11	85.38	111	23	90.98	95.83	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	95.83
PHIL 244 - Toplumsal ve Siyasal Felsefe II / PHIL 244 - Social and Political Philosophy II													
i	M1	70	60	396	130	88.23	89.46	388	129	97.98	99.23	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	99.23
TURK 101 - Türkçe I / TURK 101 - Turkish I													
i	M1	70	60	597	44	85.40	84.06	578	43	96.82	97.73	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	97.73
TURK 102 - Türkçe II / TURK 102 - Turkish II													
i	M1	70	60	1352	109	88.98	88.63	1335	109	98.74	100.00	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100.00

4.3. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARI / PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

4.3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE TABLE

4.3.1.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Dersler/ Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes											Dersler/ Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes										
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k		a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k
CS 121											87.62	LAW 305		88.82	88.82	88.82	88.82						
ECON 103						80.5		80.5				LAW 307			74.72	74.72	74.72						
ENG 101							91.51					LAW 309			89.81	89.81	83.44						
ENG 102							96.88					LAW 401			84.85	78.79	78.79						
GE 100									100	100	100	LAW 403			93.75	93.75	93.75						
GE 251										92.94		LAW 405	75	75					75				
HIST 200									100	100		LAW 407	64.29				64.29	64.29					
HUM 111									99.18			LAW 411	80.89		80.89		80.89	80.89					
LAW 102				87.91	87.91	87.91						LAW 412				86.93	86.93						
LAW 105		71.11		71.11								MAN 211							100				
LAW 201		60.47		60.47	60.47			60.47				MAN 216					100		100				
LAW 203	89.09		89.09		86.67	86.67						MATH 264											54.55
LAW 205	88.89		88.89		88.89							PHIL 243									96.21		
LAW 301				66.46	43.48							PHIL 244									100		
LAW 303			79.88		79.88		79.88	79.88				TURK 101									98.21		
LAW 304				91.03	91.03			91.03				TURK 102									100		

Tablo.4.3.1.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi Hukuk Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Tablosu / **Table.4.3.1.1.** 2025-2026 Academic Year Fall Semester Law Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Table


4.3.1.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Dersler / Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes										
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k
CS 121											74.07
ECON 103						77.42		77.42			
ENG 101							88.00				
ENG 102							100.00				
GE 100									100.00	100.00	100.00
GE 251									94.00	94.00	
HIST 200									98.10	98.10	
HUM 111									92.50		
LAW 104	63.49		63.49		63.49						
LAW 106			86.52	86.52					86.52		
LAW 202		70.18		70.18	70.18	70.18					
LAW 204	90.00		90.00		90.00						
LAW 206			94.81		94.81						
LAW 216						93.92	93.92	93.92			
LAW 302			84.31	84.31	84.31						
LAW 306		82.66	82.66	82.66	82.66						
LAW 308			87.12	87.12	87.12						
LAW 358	95.45		95.45		95.45						
LAW 405	90.67	90.67					90.67				
LAW 406			100.00			100.00	100.00	100.00			
LAW 407	83.96				83.96	83.96					
LAW 410			92.35	92.35	92.35						
MAN 216					100.00		100.00				
MATH 264											84.07
PHIL 243									95.83		
PHIL 244									99.23		
TURK 101									97.73		
TURK 102									100.00		

Tablo.4.3.1.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi Hukuk Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Tablosu / **Table.4.3.1.2.** 2025-2026 Academic Year Spring Semester Law Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Table

4.3.2. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS ANALİZLERİ / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

4.3.2.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Fall Semester;




Tablo.4.3.2.1. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi Hukuk Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Analizleri Tablosu (Dersler için) / **Table.4.3.2.1.** 2025-2026 Academic Year Fall Semester Law Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Analysis Table (For Courses)



LAW 301 için e program çıktısında; MATH 264 için k program çıktısında yeterlilik kriteri sağlanamamıştır. / The qualification criteria for LAW 301, program outcome e; for MATH 264, program outcome k were insufficient.

4.3.2.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2025-2026 Academic Year Spring Semester;



Tablo.4.3.2.2. 2025-2026 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi Hukuk Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Analizleri Tablosu (Dersler için) / **Table.4.3.2.2.** 2025-2026 Academic Year Spring Semester Law Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Analysis Table (For Courses)

5. DEĞERLENDİRME / EVALUATION

5.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT RESULTS

In 2025-2026 Fall Term, the qualification criteria for LAW 301, program outcome e; for MATH 264, program outcome k were insufficient. But the other courses that were measured under QME were calculated as sufficient.

In 2025-2026 Spring Term all the courses that were measured under QME were calculated as sufficient.

The LAW QME Team will continue monitoring these courses together with other semesters and reflect the evaluations made in the yearly report.

5.2. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

5.2.1. Advisory Board Meeting

At the Advisory Board meeting held on May 8, 2026, the following matters were discussed:

It was decided, together with the Advisory Board members, that the next meeting planned for October should be held in person in Ankara with as high participation as possible.

A consensus was reached on holding Advisory Board meetings regularly throughout the year and on providing the Board with updates regarding developments at the faculty. It was emphasized that this Board should not remain merely on paper, but should actively support the faculty.

Information was provided regarding the new curriculum to be implemented starting from the Fall semester of the 2026–2027 academic year. Within the scope of the “Core Curriculum,” it was stated that the number of compulsory courses would decrease while the number of elective courses would increase, thereby enabling specialization. It was also expressed that the aim of the new curriculum is to broaden students’ perspectives and general knowledge through the addition of elective courses in the fields of arts, sciences, and social sciences. It was noted that the new curriculum could be evaluated in meetings following its implementation.

Advisory Board members presented suggestions for elective courses that would be beneficial to include in the new curriculum.

It was stated that there will be a need for competent legal professionals who can address the legal dimensions of topics such as defense industry, energy, technology, and cybersecurity, also by making use of artificial intelligence, and that similar courses are already being offered at other law faculties. Given our country’s geopolitical position, it was emphasized that offering courses covering the legal aspects of such contemporary issues would be important. Training qualified legal professionals in these areas would make significant contributions to the country.

It was noted that the new curriculum would focus on specialization, that elective law courses would increase, and that experts from outside the institution, as well as faculty members who are specialists in their fields, could be invited.

Within the scope of the “Study Groups” initiative launched in the 2025–2026 academic year at our faculty, students who volunteered conducted productive work on topics of their choice under the guidance of faculty members. It was emphasized that study groups are highly important as a method of reaching students through new teaching methods beyond the curriculum and of providing students with opportunities to specialize in areas of their interest. Advisory Board members stated that they could contribute within their fields of expertise to the specific topics determined by these study groups.

The successes of our students in national and international moot court competitions were shared with Board members, and it was noted that the Board members’ knowledge and experience would be utilized in the competitions we will participate in next year.

It was stated that law and international relations are closely interconnected fields, and that there is a need for studies on international law, humanitarian law, and the rules of diplomacy. It was also emphasized that legal philosophy should be addressed in a strong manner. It was further noted that offering undergraduate and graduate-level courses, as well as organizing practical courses (course pratiques), on topics where law intersects with international relations and other fields—such as law of the sea, continental shelf, airspace, and transboundary waters—would be important. It was also expressed that issues concerning our country and region could be addressed through practical courses and seminars, and that our students show great interest in such topics and closely follow related events.

It was stated that Bilkent University is developing common courses aimed at enabling the use of artificial intelligence in a way that facilitates students’ lives while preserving their ability to reason. It was also noted that the opinions of the Board members would be taken into consideration on these matters.

Finally, it was stated that the opinions to be submitted by the Board members to the Dean’s Office would be compiled by the Dean’s Office and shared with all Board members, and that all developments related to the faculty would be communicated to the Board members over time.

5.2.2. Student Members Meeting

- Suzan R. Hofstede stated that she has been generally very satisfied with her education since the day she started at the faculty. She noted that the courses progress in an orderly manner, that the topics are covered step by step and in a clear way, and that the faculty members are open to questions and accessible. Emphasizing that students can communicate with their instructors without hesitation, she also stated that it is easy to contact academic advisors and to arrange meetings quickly. Although she described the education as demanding, she highlighted that it provides depth both practically and theoretically, and emphasized that in-class discussions and practical examples particularly support the learning process.

The student expressed that addressing not only theoretical knowledge but also current practices and real-life cases in classes makes a significant contribution. She noted that examining practice-oriented examples alongside doctrinal discussions makes legal education more meaningful and engaging. She also stated that a significant number of faculty members are well-versed in practice, and that analyzing court decisions and current applications in class enhances the quality of education. She shared that her experience through her family's law office confirms that the education provides a solid foundation in this regard.

While evaluating non-departmental courses in the curriculum positively overall, the student noted that although these courses can be demanding during the semester, they are beneficial in the long term in terms of versatility. She stated that they help students gain different perspectives and provide opportunities to interact with students from other disciplines. However, she emphasized that the current number of electives is four and that increasing this number would allow students to specialize more in line with their interests. She also suggested that courses included in the HMGS scope but not currently offered in the curriculum could be added as electives.

The student evaluated positively the inclusion of HMGS-related courses in the curriculum, particularly highlighting the usefulness of courses such as Philosophy of Law and Sociology of Law. She also stated that English-language law courses are highly beneficial in developing professional language skills and believes that the education she receives provides a strong foundation for pursuing an academic career (PhD) abroad.

She also evaluated professional practice and practical training opportunities positively, stating that court practices and application-based activities have contributed significantly to her development. She emphasized that club activities make an important contribution to students' growth, and that having multiple student clubs within the faculty offers various opportunities, with faculty members also supporting these activities. Through clubs, students can explore different areas of law and interact with professionals, and such activities are beneficial for career planning. In addition, she noted that her peers who participated in moot court competitions benefited greatly from the experience; although she could not participate herself, she stated that she has heard positive feedback about the study groups from her friends.

She further stated that in discussions with her peers, similar views have been shared regarding the comprehensive and detailed nature of the courses offered at the faculty. She believes that the quality of a Bilkent education is reflected in graduates' professional performance and expressed an overall positive opinion of the faculty.

Finally, the student stated that the education she is receiving provides her with a strong academic foundation for pursuing legal education abroad or working in an international environment. She emphasized that the faculty offers serious and comprehensive training and that she will benefit from this background in her future professional life.

- Şimal Özkul stated that some of the issues raised by students in previous years still persist, while improvements have been observed in others.

She noted that students experience certain difficulties in accessing faculty members and in academic advising processes. She emphasized that the advising system needs to function more

effectively and that establishing a structure where students can receive regular guidance in their academic and career planning would be beneficial. In this context, it was also highlighted that encouraging one-on-one mentorship meetings with faculty members and establishing a mentorship system between senior and junior students could be useful.

The student believes that the number and diversity of elective courses offered within the curriculum should be increased, particularly emphasizing the importance of providing more options that support specialization in specific fields of law. She identified the most significant shortcoming as the limited number of alternatives despite the requirement to take four departmental electives. It was also noted that offering courses based on traditional lecture methods and not sufficiently addressing students' interests further exacerbates this issue. She stated that the inability to choose the compulsory Humanities course from among different alternatives and the requirement to take multiple MAN courses are inefficient, suggesting that placing greater emphasis on field-specific electives instead would be more beneficial. She also emphasized that non-law courses (such as MAN, MATH, etc.) place an additional burden on law students and could be reduced. On the other hand, it was noted that students are generally satisfied with the quality of the academic staff; although philosophy courses are criticized by some students, they are found useful for building an intellectual foundation and improving English reading and speaking skills.

The student also remarked that uncertainties regarding the Legal Professions Entrance Exam (HMGS) and students' concerns during the preparation process persist. She stated that clarifying the relationship between the curriculum and the HMGS scope and providing more guidance during exam preparation would be beneficial. In this regard, it was emphasized that including core HMGS subjects in the curriculum is important, and that the absence of some subjects covered in the exam within the curriculum creates difficulties in preparation. Additionally, it was noted that offering Enforcement and Bankruptcy Law and Labor Law in only one semester is insufficient both for HMGS preparation and for graduates' professional lives, and that offering both courses over two semesters would be more appropriate. It was also stated that the HMGS mock exam conducted in the previous semester was a valuable experience for students.

The student further noted that the International Arbitration Law course is delivered within a very limited timeframe and that its mismatch with the schedules of other courses, along with its broad scope, creates significant stress for students. She stated that making the course compulsory is not meaningful for students who do not plan to work in this field, and mentioned that there is a widespread view that the course would be more appropriate as an elective for those who are genuinely interested.

Lastly, she stated that suggestions put forward in previous years—such as improving mentorship systems among students, increasing alumni-student interaction, and expanding activities that support students' career development—remain valid. She emphasized that the study groups introduced last year at the faculty are extremely beneficial and facilitate academic collaboration between faculty members and students, and underlined the importance of continuing this practice. It was also noted that while some students have

proposed assigning academic credit to summer internships, she does not find this suggestion particularly useful.

- Genco Kerem Özgün, the student stated that the courses he took in the master's program are engaging and noticeably different in both content and approach compared to those he took during his undergraduate studies. He emphasized that the course content is rich and comprehensive, and that the teaching approach—centered on student participation, research, and contribution rather than traditional lectures—positively supports the learning process. He noted that he has not experienced any problems with the projects or evaluation methods used in the program, and that exams, projects, and coursework have been productive, although the program is quite intensive overall.

He stated that the graduate education he is receiving could make significant contributions to his future specialization. He emphasized that the program covers niche topics that allow for in-depth study in specific areas and offers a level of depth beyond undergraduate education. While some courses are also beneficial for legal practice, he noted that this benefit may vary depending on the student's field of work.

The student also highlighted that one of the most important gains of the program is the opportunity to develop professional foreign language skills, access and use sources in a foreign language, and learn academic research methods and scientific writing techniques in a practical way. He emphasized that the experience gained especially during the thesis stage has been highly instructive for academic work processes and has significantly contributed to his academic development. He believes that this education would provide him with a considerable advantage if he chooses to pursue an academic career or work abroad.

Regarding areas for improvement, the student noted that it can be difficult for working students to attend courses and events coded as GE500. He stated that although some of these events do not align with every student's interests or are not directly related to their field, students feel obliged to attend in order to fulfill participation quotas. He expressed doubts about the necessity of such seminars and suggested that organizing more field-specific events and directing students to relevant conferences would be more beneficial.

In addition, he believes that although most students in the program focus on private law, the number and diversity of courses offered in this area are insufficient. He noted that it can be difficult to find suitable course sections and that course schedules may not be convenient for working students. He suggested that offering more courses in private law would contribute to the program's development and enhance opportunities for specialization.

Finally, he stated that while most students in the program work in the field of commercial law and wish to write their theses in this area, they face difficulties in finding suitable thesis advisors during the thesis stage.