

2024-2025 AKADEMİK YILI /
Academic Year


EĞİTİMDE KALİTE GÜVENCESİ YILLIK RAPORU

*QUALITY ASSURANCE IN
EDUCATION ANNUAL REPORT*

GÜZEL SANATLAR, TASARIM VE MİMARLIK FAKÜLTESİ *FACULTY ART, DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURE*

KENTSEL TASARIM VE PEYZAJ MİMARLIĞI
LİSANS PROGRAMI (LAUD)

*URBAN DESIGN AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE
UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM - LAUD*



İÇİNDEKİLER / CONTENTS

1. BÖLÜM HAKKINDA / ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT	2
1.1. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARI / EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES	2
1.1.1. DANIŞMA KURULU / ADVISORY BOARD	2
1.2. LİSANS PROGRAMI / UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM	3
1.2.1. MÜFREDAT / CURRICULUM	3
1.2.2. DERSLERİN DAĞILIMI / DISTRIBUTION COURSES	6
1.3. ÖĞRENCİLER / STUDENTS	6
1.3.1. ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF STUDENTS	6
1.3.2. YABANCI ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS	7
1.4. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARI / FACULTY MEMBERS	7
1.4.1. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS	7
1.4.2. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF FACULTY MEMBERS	8
1.5. EĞİTİMDE KALİTE KOMİTESİ / COMMITTEE OF QUALITY IN EDUCATION	8
2. TÜRKİYE YÜKSEKÖĞRETİM YETERLİLİKLER ÇERÇEVESİ - ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER / TURKISH HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK - NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS	9
3. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES	12
3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES	12
3.2. ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER İLE PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI BAĞLANTI TABLOSU / NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CONNECTION TABLE	13
4. DERSLER / COURSES	14
4.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI - DERSLER TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES - COURSES TABLE	14
4.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMÜNDE KULLANILAN METRİKLER / METRICS TO BE USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	15
4.2.1. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN DEĞERLENDİRME METOTLARI / / EVALUATION METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS	15
4.2.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN METOTLAR VE PERFORMANS SONUÇ DETAYLARI / METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RESULT DETAILS	33
4.3. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARI / PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RESULTS	45
4.3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE TABLE	45
4.3.2. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS ANALİZLERİ / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	47
5. DEĞERLENDİRME / EVALUATION	49
5.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT RESULTS	49
5.2. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES	49

GÜZEL SANATLAR, TASARIM VE MİMARLIK FAKÜLTESİ / FACULTY ART, DESIGN AND ARCHITECTURE

KENTSEL TASARIM VE PEYZAJ MİMARLIĞI LİSANS PROGRAMI - LAUD / URBAN DESIGN AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM - LAUD

1. BÖLÜM HAKKINDA / ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT

1.1. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARI / EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

Kentsel tasarım ve peyzaj mimarlığı alanlarında öncü bir bölüm olan Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Bölümü (LAUD), özellikle kentsel peyzajı odağına alarak, mezunlarının diplomalarını aldıktan sonraki birkaç yıl içerisinde aşağıda belirtilen eğitim hedeflerine ulaşmalarını amaçlanmaktadır. / Department of Urban Design and Landscape Architecture (LAUD) aims to be a leading department in the fields of urban design and landscape architecture, with a focus on urban landscape. The graduates of LAUD are expected to attain one or more of the following educational objectives within a few years of graduation.

- 1) LAUD mezunları, kentsel peyzajın tüm boyutları üzerine edinmiş oldukları bilgi birikimi ile ayırt edilebilecek; bu birikimi, küresel bir bakış açısıyla ve yerel gereksinimlere yanıt verecek tasarım çözümleri üretmek üzere kullanabilir olacaklardır; / LAUD graduates will be distinguished with their knowledge on all components of urban landscapes with their state-of-the-art sustainable design solutions to contextual needs with global insight;
- 2) LAUD mezunları, ulusal ve uluslararası tasarım firmalarında, kamu kurumlarında ve akademik alanda başarılı kariyerlere sahip olacak, kendi lider firmalarını kurabileceklerdir; / LAUD graduates will be able to pursue successful careers in national and international design firms, government organizations and in academic area, over and above will initiate their own leading firms;
- 3) LAUD mezunları, özgün tasarım fikirlerini güncel teknolojik araçlardan yararlanarak, kamuda ve profesyonel arenadaki tüm paydaşlara, yüksek kalitede ve tutarlı bir içerikle, görsel ve sözlü sunumla aktarabilecek becerilere sahip olacaktır; / LAUD graduates will use various media for high quality and coherent, visual and oral representation of their design ideas to multitude of stakeholders in the professional arena;
- 4) LAUD mezunları, sosyal ve çevresel sorumluk taşıyan, çok disiplinli takım çalışması yürütebilen, etkili işbirliği ve liderlik becerilerine sahip bireylerdir. / LAUD graduates will have effective collaboration and leadership skills, aiming to strengthen multidisciplinary team work, comprising social and environmental responsibility.

1.1.1. DANIŞMA KURULU / ADVISORY BOARD

- Ergi Bozyiğit - Kentsel Tasarım Direktörü / Bilkent Üniversitesi LAUD Mezunu - Özgüven Mimarlık Ofisi / Ergi Bozyiğit - Urban Design Director / Bilkent University LAUD Graduated - Özgüven Architecture Office
- Funda Baş-Bütüner - Öğretim Üyesi / Bilkent Üniversitesi LAUD Mezunu - Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi / Funda Baş- Tümer - Faculty Member / Bilkent University LAUD Graduated - Middle East Technical University
- Onur Çamurlu - Proje Direktörü / Bilkent Üniversitesi LAUD Mezunu - AE7, Abu Dhabi / Onur Çamurlu - Project Director / Bilkent University LAUD Graduated - AE7, Abu Dhabi

- Öğr. Gör. Alihan Polat - Proje Direktörü / Bilkent Üniversitesi LAUD Mezun - Studio-M / Pratt Institute ve CUNY CityTech / *Lec. Alihan Polat - Project Director / Bilkent University LAUD Graduated - Studio-M / Pratt Institute and CUNY CityTech*
- Halis Saygı - Proje Direktörü / Bilkent Üniversitesi LAUD Mezun - Renaissance Development, St.Petersburg / *Halis Saygı - Project Director / Bilkent University LAUD Graduated - Renaissance Development, St.Petersburg*
- Eda Ersoy-Tombakoğlu - ASİAD En Genç ve İlk Kadın Başkanı / Bilkent Üniversitesi LAUD Mezun - YYK Mimarlık Ofisi / *Eda Ersoy-Tombakoğlu - Youngest and First Female President of ASİAD / Bilkent University LAUD Graduated - YYK Architecture Office*
- Dr. Deniz Aslan - Yüksek Mimar - DS Mimarlık Ofisi / *Dr. Deniz Aslan - Master Architect - DS Architecture Office*
- Orçun Erşan - Mimar - ACE Mimarlık Ofisi / *Orçun Erşan - Architect - ACE Architecture Office*
- Nesrin Karaoğlu Otuzoğlu - Peyzaj Mimarı - Karaoğlu Peyzaj Ofisi / *Nesrin Karaoğlu Otuzoğlu - Landscape Architect - Karaoğlu Landscape Office*
- Can Kubin - Şehir Y.Plancısı - Promim Kentsel Tasarım Ofisi / *Can Kubin - City Planner - Promim Urban Design Office*
- Mucip Ürger - Yüksek Mimar - Özer+Ürger Mimarlık / *Mucip Ürger - Master Architect - Özer+Ürger Architecture*
- Prof. Dr. Öner Demirel - Öğretim Üyesi - Kırıkkale Üniversitesi Peyzaj Mimarlığı Bölümü / *Prof. Dr. Öner Demirel - Faculty Member - Kırıkkale University Department of Landscape Architecture*
- Prof. Dr. Berin Gür - Öğretim Üyesi - TED Üniversitesi, Mimarlık Fakültesi / *Prof. Dr. Berin Gür - Faculty Member - TED University, Faculty of Architecture*
- Prof. Dr. Azime Tezer - Öğretim Üyesi - İstanbul Teknik Üniversitesi Şehir ve Bölge Planlama Bölümü / *Prof. Dr. Azime Tezer - Faculty Member - Istanbul Technical University Department of Urban and Regional Planning*
- Prof. Dr. Zuhul Ulusoy - Öğretim Üyesi - Kadir Has Üniversitesi Mimarlık Bölümü / *Prof. Dr. Zuhul Ulusoy - Faculty Member - Kadir Has University Department of Architecture*
- Dr. Ing. Ellen Fetzer - Peyzaj Mimarı - Nürtingen-Geislingen University, Germany / *Dr. Ing. Ellen Fetzer - Landscape Architect - Nürtingen-Geislingen University, Germany*
- Doç. Dr. Jala Makhzoumi - Öğretim Üyesi / Peyzaj Mimarı - International Federation of Landscape Architect, IFLA/ISOCARP / *Assoc. Dr. Jala Makhzoumi - Faculty Member / Landscape Architect - International Federation of Landscape Architect, IFLA/ISOCARP*
- Dr. Öğr. Üyesi Eugenio Morello - Öğretim Üyesi / Mimar - Urban Design, POLIMI, Italy / *Assis. Prof. Eugenio Morello - Faculty Member / Architect - Urban Design, POLIMI, Italy*
- Prof. Dr. Tan Yiğitcanlar - Öğretim Üyesi / Şehir ve Bölge Plancısı - Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Australia / *Prof. Dr. Tan Yiğitcanlar - Faculty Member / Urban and Regional Planner - Queensland University of Technology (QUT), Australia*

1.2. LİSANS PROGRAMI / UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM

1.2.1. MÜFREDAT / CURRICULUM


Birinci Yıl / First Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
ADA 131	Mimari Çizim / Architectural Drawing	0	3	3	5
ENG 101	İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / English and Composition I	5	0	3	5
FA 101	Temel Tasarım I / Basic Design I	0	8	6	8,5
FA 171	Sanat, Tasarım ve Kültüre Giriş I / Introduction to Art, Design and Culture I	3	0	3	5
GE 100	Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / Orientation	0	0	1	2
TURK 101	Türkçe I / Turkish I	0	0	2	3,5
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
ADA 134	Dijital Medya ile Tasarım / Designing with Digital Media	0	3	3	5
ENG 102	İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / English and Composition II	5	0	3	5
FA 102	Temel Tasarım II / Basic Design II	0	8	6	8,5
MATH 105	Matematiğe Giriş I / Introduction to Calculus I	4	0	4	6,5
TURK 102	Türkçe II / Turkish II	0	0	2	3,5
	Temel Fen Bilimleri Seçmeli Dersi / Science Core Elective			3	

İkinci Yıl / Second Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
ADA 263	Mimarlık Tarihi I / History of Built Environment I	3	0	3	5
GE 250	Üniversite Etkinlik Programı I / Collegiate Activities Program I	0	0	0	1
HIST 200	Türkiye Tarihi / History of Turkey	3	0	4	6,5
LAUD 201	Tasarım Stüdyosu I: Arazi Tasarımı / Design Studio I: Site Design	2	8	6	8,5
LAUD 221	Kentsel Kavramlara Giriş / Introduction to Urban Concepts	3	0	3	5
	Bilgisayar Becerileri Seçmeli / Computational Skills Core Elective				
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
ADA 264	Mimarlık Tarihi II / History of Built Environment II	3	0	3	5
GE 251	Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / Collegiate Activities Program II	0	0	1	2
HUM 111	Kültürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I / Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I	3	0	3	5
LAUD 202	Tasarım Stüdyosu II: Konut Çevresi / Design Studio II: Housing	2	8	6	8,5
LAUD 241	Peyzaj Bitkileri / Landscape Plants	4	0	3	5
LAUD 252	Arazi Tasarım Teknikleri / Site Design Techniques	2	2	3	5

Üçüncü Yıl / Third Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
HUM 112	Kültürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler II / Cultures Civilizations and Ideas II	3	0	3	5
LAUD 242	Türkiye'nin Florası / Flora of Turkey	2	2	3	5
LAUD 290	Yaz Stajı II / Summer Practice II	0	0	0	6
LAUD 301	Tasarım Stüdyosu III: Küçük Şehir / Design Studio III: Small Town	2	8	6	8,5
LAUD 357	Peyzaj Uygulamaları, Teknikler, Malzemeler ve Yapılar / Landscape Construction: Techniques, Materials and Structures	2	2	3	5
	Temel Sosyal Bilimler Seçmeli Dersi / Social Science Core Elective			3	
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
IAED 322	İnsan ve Çevre / People and Environment	3	0	3	5
LAUD 302	Tasarım Stüdyosu IV: Kent Merkezi / Design Studio IV: City Center	2	8	6	8,5
LAUD 342	Bitkisel Tasarım Stüdyosu / Planting Design Studio	2	2	3	5
LAUD 372	Kentsel Çevrenin Analizi / Analysis of Urban Environment	2	2	3	5
	Temel İnsani Bilimler Seçmeli Dersi / Humanities Core Elective			3	

Dördüncü Yıl / Fourth Year					
Güz Dönemi / Fall Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
COMD 358	Profesyonel İletişim / Professional Communication	3	0	3	5
LAUD 390	Yaz Stajı III / Summer Practice III	0	0	0	6
LAUD 401	Mezuniyet Tasarım Stüdyosu I: Açık Alan Örgüsü / Senior Design Studio I: Open Space Network	2	8	6	8,5
LAUD 471	Kent Sosyolojisi / Urban Sociology	3	0	3	5
LAUD 481	Peyzaj Ekolojisi Stüdyosu / Landscape Ecology Studio	2	2	3	5
Bahar Dönemi / Spring Semester					
Ders Kod/ Course Code	Ders Adı / Course Name	Saatler / Hours		Kredi / Credits	
		Ders / Lecture	Lab / Stüdyo / Diğer / Lab / Studio / Others	Bilkent	ECTS
LAUD 402	Mezuniyet Tasarım Stüdyosu II: Mezuniyet Projeleri / Senior Design Studio II: Graduation Projects	2	8	6	8,5
LAUD 404	Mezuniyet Projesi Araştırması / Senior Design Research	4	0	3	5
LAUD 418	Mesleki Uygulama / Professional Practice	2	2	3	5
	Sınırlı Seçmeli Ders / Restricted Elective			3	

1.2.2. DERSLERİN DAĞILIMI / DISTRIBUTION COURSES



Grafik.1.2.2. Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Müfredatındaki Derslerin Dağılımı / **Graphic.1.2.2.** Distribution of Courses in the Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program Curriculum

1.3. ÖĞRENCİLER / STUDENTS

1.3.1. ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF STUDENTS

Öğrenci Sayıları / Number of Students	
Hazırlık / Prep	15
1. Sınıf / 1. Class	20
2. Sınıf / 2. Class	12
3. Sınıf / 3. Class	11
4. Sınıf / 4. Class	13
Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Total Number of Students	71

Tablo.1.3.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Öğrenci Sayıları / **Table.1.3.1.** Number of Students in Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program for the 2024-2025 Academic Year

1.3.2. YABANCI ÖĞRENCİ SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FOREIGN STUDENTS

Yabancı Öğrenci Sayıları / Number of Foreign Students	
3. Sınıf / 3. Class	1
Toplam Yabancı Öğrenci Sayısı / Total Number of Foreign Students	1

Tablo.1.3.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Yabancı Öğrenci Sayıları / **Table.1.3.2.** Number of Foreign Students in Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program for the 2024-2025 Academic Year

1.4. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARI / FACULTY MEMBERS

1.4.1. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANI SAYILARI / NUMBER OF FACULTY MEMBERS

Öğretim Elemanı Sayıları / Number of Faculty Members	
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	1
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	4
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	5
Toplam Öğretim Elemanı Sayısı / Total Number of Faculty Members	10

Tablo.1.4.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılında Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Kadrolu ve Yarı Zamanlı Öğretim Elemanı Sayıları / **Table.1.4.1.** Number of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty Members in the Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program in the 2024-2025 Academic Year

1.4.2. ÖĞRETİM ELEMANLARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF FACULTY MEMBERS

Öğretim Elemanının Unvanı / Title of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Çalışma Şekli / Work-mode of Faculty Member	Öğretim Elemanının Adı - Soyadı / Name-Surname of Faculty Member
Doçent Doktor / Associate Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Bülent Batuman
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Ahmet Oktan Nalbantoğlu
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Onur Tümrtürk
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Semra Horuz İtez
Doktor Öğretim Üyesi / Assistant Professor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Müge Durusu Tanrıöver
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Gaye Çulcuoğlu
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Nihan Özdöver
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Jale Tunçok
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Yarı Zamanlı / Part Time	Banu Aksel Gürün
Öğretim Görevlisi / Instructor	Tam Zamanlı / Full Time	Hatice Karaca

Tablo.1.4.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılında Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Kadrolu ve Yarı Zamanlı Öğretim Elemanı Listesi / **Table.1.4.2.** List of Full-Time and Part-Time Faculty Members in the Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program in the 2024-2025 Academic Year

1.5. EĞİTİMDE KALİTE KOMİTESİ / COMMITTEE OF QUALITY IN EDUCATION

- ❖ Bülent Batuman
- ❖ Onur Tümrtürk

2. TÜRKİYE YÜKSEKÖĞRETİM YETERLİLİKLER ÇERÇEVESİ - ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER / *TURKISH HIGHER EDUCATION QUALIFICATIONS FRAMEWORK - NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS*

Architecture and Construction Basic Field Qualifications (Academic - Weighted) - 6th Level - Bachelor's						
LEVEL OF THEQF	KNOWLEDGE SKILLS -Theoretical -Factual	SKILLS -Cognitive -Practical	COMPETENCIES			
			Ability to Work Independently and Take Responsibility	Learning Competence	Communication and Social Competence	Field-Specific Competence
6th Level Bachelor's	K1. Have the necessary knowledge to reflect the discursive, theoretical, factual knowledge and professional service sensitivities in the local, regional, national and global contexts for architectural design / planning / design activities and researches in order to reflect them on academic sharing environments and understanding in the relevant field.	S1. Have the ability to develop concepts in architectural design / planning / design. S2. Have the ability to ensure the integrity of discourse, theory and application (practice) for architectural design / planning / design activities and researches. S3. Be able to define the researches about architectural design / planning / design issues, facts, potentials and problems. S4. Use theoretical / conceptual knowledge, cognitive and practical skills, research methods and techniques related to the field.	W1. Execute an architectural design / planning / design project independently; plan and execute research projects for these processes; produce new syntheses. W2. Execute individual studies related to the field independently and take individual and mutual responsibility in multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary and trans disciplinary studies. Have required self-confidence and competence for this. W3. Plan joint work in an architectural	L1. Learn by evaluating knowledge and skills in the field with a critical and dialectical (critical, which can produce antithesis and synthesis) approach. L2. Be future-oriented; have the motivation and learning skills necessary for personal and professional development; determine learning needs; make plans for them and applies them. L3. Act with an awareness of lifelong learning.	C1. Inform relevant people and institutions on issues related to the field; communicate ideas and suggestions for the solutions of problems in writing, orally and visually; share information with specialists and non-specialists by supporting it with quantitative and qualitative data. C2. Organize projects, collaborations and activities for the inhabited social environment with an awareness of social responsibility and implement these. C3. Follow developments in the field and establish effective communication with colleagues using a foreign language at least	F1. Act with an understanding associated with ethics and codes of conduct, habitual behaviour and a sense of social responsibility in the professional field, during professional practice and research. F2. Collect, evaluate and interpret data for architectural design / planning / design processes that will form the required basis for making decisions by considering possible social, environmental and ethical consequences F3. Evaluate current knowledge in the field with a critical and dialectical approach; use existing knowledge, understanding and skills

	the intellectual, discursive, scientific, technological, aesthetic, artistic, historical and cultural background within this framework. K3. Have knowledge and understanding about the subject of human and community centric, (natural and built) environmentally friendly architectural design / planning / design / research methods in the related field. K4. Have multi-dimensional knowledge and understanding of issues about economic, environmental and social sustainability principles and standards and disasters in the related field. K5. Have knowledge about principles, laws, regulations and	S5. Have the ability to develop alternative architectural design, planning fictions and solutions. S6. Have the skills for interdisciplinary interactive architectural design / planning / design. Use knowledge, understanding and skills in interpreting contextual data, in identifying problems, in developing alternating architectural design / planning / design decisions / projects / solutions which exhibit craftsmanship and innovation.	design / planning / design project, take responsibility and execute.		at the European Language Portfolio General Level B1. C4. Use computer software together with information (information and communication) technologies required by the field interactively with at least a minimum of European Computer Driving License Advanced Level.	with a professional approach required by the discipline, in the light of ethical principles, professional codes of conduct, criteria, and standards by considering possible social, environmental and ethical consequences according to legislative frameworks. F4. Decide and act with knowledge of human values, respect for human rights and social and cultural rights on this basis, by showing the required sensitivity in the protection of natural environment and cultural heritage, and consciousness of justice. F5. Have individual sensitivity for just behaviour, by showing awareness for the benefits of the profession from the perspective of human rights and society and that it produces social services, by showing sensitivity for the issues of quality culture, conservation of natural and cultural values, environmental protection, occupational health and safety, legal frameworks particular to
--	--	--	---	--	--	---

	standards related to the field. K6. Have knowledge and understanding of institutional and ethical values related to the field. K7. Have knowledge and understanding about the place / significance of the related field in a historical, geographical, social and cultural context.					providing professional services with ethical principles. F6. Have knowledge and awareness about the local, regional, national and global general and professional problems of the current historical period.
--	---	--	--	--	--	---

3. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI / PROGRAM OUTCOMES

3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARININ LİSTESİ / LIST OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES

- a. Kentsel ve ekolojik sistem bilgisini tasarım önerilerinde uygulayabilir. / *Able to apply knowledge of urban and ecological systems to design proposals.*
- b. Anketler yapabilir, mekansal verileri analiz edebilir ve yorumlayabilir. / *Able to conduct surveys, analyze and interpret spatial data.*
- c. Gerçekçi sosyal, ekonomik, çevresel ve politik kısıtlamalar dahilinde değişen ölçeklerde kentsel planlar tasarlayabilir. / *Able to design urban plans with varying scales within realistic social, economic and political constraints.*
- d. Çok disiplinli takımlarda çalışabilir ve tasarım fikirlerini takım arkadaşlarına, müşterilere ve kamuoyuna iletebilir. / *Able to work in multi-disciplinary teams and communicate design ideas to teammates, clients and the public.*
- e. Kentsel sorunlar için politika önerileri belirleyebilir, formüle edebilir ve sağlayabilir. / *Able to define and formulate policy proposals for urban problems.*
- f. Mesleki ve etik sorumluluk bilincini gösterebilir. / *Displays professional and ethical awareness.*
- g. Doğal ortamlar hakkında bilgi verebilir ve sürdürülebilir peyzaj tasarımı önerileri sunabilir. / *Able to provide information on natural environments and present sustainable landscape proposals.*
- h. Tasarım çözümlerinin küresel, ekonomik, çevresel ve toplumsal bağlamdaki etkilerini tanımlar. / *Defines the global, economic, environmental and social affects of design solutions.*
- i. Yaşam boyu öğrenmeye duyulan ihtiyacı ve kabiliyeti tanıır. / *Recognizes the need for and the ability to life-long learning.*
- j. Çağdaş kentsel konular hakkında bilgi sahibidir. / *Has knowledge on contemporary urban issues.*
- k. Mesleki uygulama için gerekli kentsel ve peyzaj tasarım tekniklerini, becerilerini ve araçlarını kullanabilir. / *Uses urban and landscape techniques, tools and skills necessary for professional practice.*
- l. Fikirleri, düşünceleri etkili bir şekilde organize edebilir ve bunları çeşitli izleyicilere iletmek için gerekli yazma ve iletişim becerilerini geliştirebilir. / *Able to effectively organize ideas and thoughts and displays the writing and communication skills required to convey these to an audience.*
- m. Bilimin modern düşünce yöntemlerini tanıır ve küresel zorluklar için yaratıcı çözümler geliştirecek araçlarla donanmıştır. / *Recognizes scientific methods and is equipped with tools to develop creative solutions to global challenges.*
- n. Sanatsal, kültürel, sportif ve entelektüel kapasiteye sahiptir. / *Is equipped with artistic, cultural, sportive and intellectual capacities.*

3.2. ULUSAL YETERLİLİKLER İLE PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI BAĞLANTI TABLOSU / NATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS AND PROGRAM OUTCOMES CONNECTION TABLE

Ulusal Yeterlilikler / National Competencies	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes													
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)
K1	✓													
K2			✓		✓									
K3					✓	✓	✓							
K4				✓			✓	✓						
K5					✓					✓	✓			
K6						✓				✓				
K7								✓		✓				
S1	✓				✓									
S2					✓									
S3			✓											
S4											✓			
S5		✓												
S6				✓							✓			
W1				✓										
W2				✓										
W3				✓			✓							
L1							✓							
L2									✓					
L3									✓					
C1											✓			
C2				✓										
C3				✓										
C4		✓							✓		✓			
F1						✓								
F2		✓						✓						
F3				✓				✓		✓				
F4						✓	✓							
F5						✓								
F6					✓					✓				

Tablo.3.2. Ulusal Yeterlilikler ile Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Bağlantı Tablosu / **Table.3.2.** National Qualifications and Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program Program Outcomes Link Table

4. DERSLER / COURSES

4.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI - DERSLER TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES - COURSES TABLE

Dersler / Courses	Program Çıktıları/ Program Outcomes														Dersler / Courses	Program Çıktıları/ Program Outcomes													
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n		a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n
ADA 131				✓							✓				LAUD 221	✓	✓		✓										
ADA 134				✓							✓				LAUD 241						✓								
ADA 263								✓		✓					LAUD 242						✓	✓		✓					
ADA 264								✓		✓					LAUD 252		✓								✓				
COMD 358				✓		✓					✓	✓			LAUD 290				✓			✓							
ENG 101		✓		✓								✓			LAUD 301	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓				
ENG 102		✓		✓								✓			LAUD 302	✓	✓	✓		✓			✓		✓				
FA 101		✓		✓					✓						LAUD 342				✓			✓							
FA 102		✓		✓					✓						LAUD 372		✓					✓							
FA 171									✓	✓					LAUD 390				✓						✓				
GE 100						✓			✓					✓	LAUD 401	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓			
GE 250						✓			✓					✓	LAUD 402	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓		✓	✓			
GE 251						✓			✓					✓	LAUD 404						✓		✓				✓	✓	
HIST 200			✓	✓								✓	✓		LAUD 418						✓				✓				
HUM 111								✓				✓		✓	LAUD 471					✓			✓						
HUM 112								✓				✓		✓	LAUD 481						✓					✓			
IAED 322								✓				✓			MATH 105		✓		✓					✓			✓		
LAUD 201	✓	✓	✓	✓			✓								TURK 101									✓			✓		✓
LAUD 202	✓	✓	✓		✓		✓			✓					TURK 102									✓			✓		✓

Tablo.4.1. Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Program Çıktılarının Müfredat Dersleri ile Eşleşme Tablosu / **Table.4.1.** Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes and Courses Table

4.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMÜNDE KULLANILAN METRİKLER / METRICS TO BE USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

4.2.1. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN DEĞERLENDİRME METOTLARI // EVALUATION METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

4.2.1.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Course Code	Program Outputs	Studio work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Midterm: Drawing	Project	Portfolio	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
ADA 131	d	15	20	20	20	20	5	100	M1	60	70		
	Program Outputs	Studio work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Midterm: Drawing	Project	Portfolio	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	k	15	20	20	20	20	5	100	M1	60	70		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Lab work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
ADA 134	d	15	25	25	35	100	M3	60					
	Program Outputs	Lab work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	k	15	25	25	35	100	M3	60					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Presentations	Research essay	Midterm	Final	Papers(s)/Reports	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
ADA 263	h	5	20	25	30	15	5	100	M1	54	70		
	Program Outputs	Presentations	Research essay	Midterm	Final	Papers(s)/Reports	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	j	5	20	25	30	15	5	100	M1	54	70		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
COMD 358	d	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60
		Qualification Threshold (%)											
		70											

Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
COMD 358	f	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60
		Qualification Threshold (%)											
		70											
	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
	k	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60
		Qualification Threshold (%)											
		70											
	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade
	l	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60
		Qualification Threshold (%)											
		70											
Course Code	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
ENG 101	b	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	d	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	l	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M3	60		

Course Code	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
ENG 102	b	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	d	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	l	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M3	60		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
FA 101	b	20	30	50	100	M3	55					
	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	d	20	30	50	100	M3	55					
	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	i	20	30	50	100	M3	55					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Homework	Homework	Quiz	Quiz	Oral Presentation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
FA 171	i	30	35	5	5	5	5	15	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Homework	Homework	Quiz	Quiz	Oral Presentation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	j	30	35	5	5	5	5	15	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)						
GE 100	f	100	100	M1	12	80						
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)						
	i	100	100	M1	12	80						
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)						
	n	100	100	M1	12	80						

Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
GE 251	f	100	100	M1	70	70					
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	i	100	100	M1	70	70					
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	n	100	100	M1	70	70					
Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
HIST 200	c	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75			
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	d	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75			
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	l	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75			
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	m	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
HUM 111	h	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75		
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	l	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75		
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	n	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75		

Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
HUM 112	h	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75	
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	l	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75	
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	n	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
LAUD 201	a	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	b	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	c	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	d	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	g	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
LAUD 221	a	100	100	M3	60					
	Program Outputs	Final Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	b	100	100	M3	60					
	Program Outputs	Final Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	d	100	100	M3	60					

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class attendance	Homework	Midterm:Essay /written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 242	g	40	15	10	15	20	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class attendance	Homework	Midterm:Essay /written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	h	40	15	10	15	20	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final:Essay/ written	Homework	In-class attendance	Homework	Midterm:Essay /written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	j	40	15	10	15	20	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
LAUD 301	a	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	b	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	c	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	e	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	h	15	20	65	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Research Presentations	Site Studies	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	k	15	20	65	100	M3	60			

Course Code	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 401	a	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	b	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	c	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	d	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	e	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	h	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	j	20	30	50	100	M3	60	
Program Outputs	Class jury I	Pre-jury	Final jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
k	20	30	50	100	M3	60		

Course Code	Program Outputs	Poster Presentation	Essay	Midterm:Open-Book	Final:Open-book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 471	e	15	15	30	40	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Poster Presentation	Essay	Midterm:Open-Book	Final:Open-book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	h	15	15	30	40	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Poster Presentation	Essay	Midterm:Open-Book	Final:Open-book	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	j	15	15	30	40	100	M3	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Research Presentation	Studio work	Presentation - Key words	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 481	g	10	10	20	60	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Research Presentation	Studio work	Presentation - Key words	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	l	10	10	20	60	100	M3	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay /written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
MATH 105	b	50	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay /written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	d	50	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay /written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	i	50	50	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay /written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	l	50	50	100	M3	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Exam	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 101	i	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Exam	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	l	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Exam	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	n	70	30	100	M1	70	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Exam	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
TURK102	i	70	30	100	M1	70	30
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Exam	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	l	70	30	100	M1	70	30
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final Exam	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	n	70	30	100	M1	70	30

Ölçümlerde Kullanılan Metotlarla İlgili Açıklamalar / *Explanations About the Methods Used in Measurements*

Bütün metotlar için sadece dersi geçen öğrencilerin notları kullanılacaktır. / *For all methods, only the grades of students who pass the course will be used.*

- G: Öğrencilerin ilgili program çıktısını kazanacağı öngörülen minimum not / *G: Minimum grade at which students attain the relevant program outcome*
- T: Dersin ilgili program çıktısının kazanımı için yeterli sayılacağı eşik öğrenci yüzdesi / *T: Threshold percentage of students for the course to be considered sufficient for the attainment of the relevant program outcome*
- M1: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az G olması / *M1: T% of the students to have a semester total of at least G*
- M2: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az bölümdeki dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / *M2: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least that of the department average*
- M3: Öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalamasının en az G olması / *M3: Average semester total of students of the department to be at least G*
- M4: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az tüm bölümlerdeki tüm öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / *M4: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least average semester total of all students from all departments*

4.2.1.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Course Code	Program Outputs	Studio work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Midterm: Drawing	Project	Portfolio	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade				
ADA 131	d	15	20	20	20	20	5	100	M3	60				
	Program Outputs	Studio work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Midterm: Drawing	Project	Portfolio	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade				
	k	15	20	20	20	20	5	100	M3	60				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Lab work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Midterm: Drawing	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade						
ADA 134	d	25	15	25	35	100	M3	60						
	Program Outputs	Lab work	Homework	Midterm: Drawing	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade						
	k	25	15	25	35	100	M3	60						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Term project	Papers(s)/ Reports	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade						
ADA 264	h	30	10	25	35	100	M3	60						
	Program Outputs	Term project	Papers(s)/ Reports	Midterm	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade						
	j	30	10	25	35	100	M3	60						
Course Code	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
COMD 358	d	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60	70
	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	f	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60	70
	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	k	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60	70
	Program Outputs	Homework	Homework	Homework	Homework	Midterm	Project	Term project	Presentations	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)
	l	5	5	5	5	25	30	10	10	5	100	M1	60	70

Course Code	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
ENG 101	b	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	d	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Academic Essay 1	Essay	Oral Presentation	Student Led Discussion	Academic Summary and Critical Response Task	Self-progress Reflection Task	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	1	20	25	8	7	10	5	25	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
ENG 102	b	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	70	70	
	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	70	70	
	Program Outputs	Library Skills Task	Academic Essay	Oral Presentation	Research Paper Outline	Research essay	Interviews	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	1	5	20	20	10	30	15	100	M1	70	70	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
FA 102	b	20	30	50	100	M3	55					
	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	d	20	30	50	100	M3	55					
	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade					
	i	20	30	50	100	M3	55					

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Homework	Homework	Quiz	Quiz	Oral Presentation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
FA 171	i	30	35	5	5	5	5	15	100	M1	50	60	
	Program Outputs	Midterm	Final	Homework	Homework	Quiz	Quiz	Oral Presentation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	j	30	35	5	5	5	5	15	100	M1	50	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
GE 100	f	100	100	M1	12	80							
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
	i	100	100	M1	12	80							
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
	n	100	100	M1	12	80							
Course Code	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
GE 251	f	100	100	M1	70	70							
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
	i	100	100	M1	70	70							
	Program Outputs	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)							
	n	100	100	M1	70	70							
Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
HIST 200	c	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75					
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)					
	d	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75					

Course Code	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
HIST 200	l	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
	Program Outputs	Oral presentation	Research essay	Performance	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)				
	m	10	60	30	100	M1	70	75				
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
HUM 111	h	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	l	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	Course Project	In-class participation	Final Examination	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	n	30	30	10	30	100	M1	60	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
HUM 112	h	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	l	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
	Program Outputs	Quizzes	In-class participation	Final:Essay/ written	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)			
	n	30	10	30	30	100	M1	60	75			
Course Code	Program Outputs	Term project	Quizzes	Midterm:Open-Book	Midterm:Open-Book	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
IAED 322	h	35	15	20	20	10	100	M1	50	70		
	Program Outputs	Term project	Quizzes	Midterm:Open-Book	Midterm:Open-Book	In-class participation	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)		
	l	35	15	20	20	10	100	M1	50	70		

Course Code	Program Outputs	Pre-jury	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 202	a	35	65	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Pre-jury	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	b	35	65	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Pre-jury	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	c	35	65	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Pre-jury	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	e	35	65	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Pre-jury	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	g	35	65	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Pre-jury	Final Jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	j	35	65	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Park Design Project	Plant Portfolio	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 241	g	60	40	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 252	b	30	70	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	k	30	70	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	FINAL JURY	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 302	a	65	35	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	FINAL JURY	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	b	65	35	100	M3	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	FINAL JURY	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
LAUD 302	c	65	35	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	FINAL JURY	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	e	65	35	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	FINAL JURY	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	h	65	35	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	FINAL JURY	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	k	65	35	100	M3	60		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
LAUD 342	d	35	65	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Project	Project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	g	35	65	100	M3	60		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Project II	Final Project: Case study	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
LAUD 372	b	35	65	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Project II	Final Project: Case study	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	h	35	65	100	M3	60		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 402	a	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	b	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	c	60	15	25	100	M3	60	

Course Code	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
LAUD 402	d	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	e	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	h	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	j	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
	Program Outputs	Final Jury	Class Jury I	Pre-jury	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	
	k	60	15	25	100	M3	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Presentations	Papers(s)/ Reports	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
LAUD 404	f	30	70	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Presentations	Papers(s)/ Reports	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	h	30	70	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Presentations	Papers(s)/ Reports	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	l	30	70	100	M3	60		
	Program Outputs	Presentations	Papers(s)/ Reports	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade		
	m	30	70	100	M3	60		
Course Code	Program Outputs	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
LAUD 418	f	100	100	M3	60			
	Program Outputs	Term project	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade			
	k	100	100	M3	60			

Course Code	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
MATH 105	b	50	50	100	M1	40	40	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	d	50	50	100	M1	40	40	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	i	50	50	100	M1	40	40	
	Program Outputs	Midterm:Essay/ written	Final:Essay/ written	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	l	50	50	100	M1	40	40	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 101	i	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	l	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	n	70	30	100	M1	70	60	
Course Code	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
TURK 102	i	70	30	100	M1	70	30	
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	l	70	30	100	M1	70	30	
	Program Outputs	Blog	Final	Total Contribution	Qualification Calculation Method	(Average) Qualification Grade	Qualification Threshold (%)	
	n	70	30	100	M1	70	30	

Ölçümlerde Kullanılan Metotlarla İlgili Açıklamalar / *Explanations About the Methods Used in Measurements*

Bütün metotlar için sadece dersi geçen öğrencilerin notları kullanılacaktır. / *For all methods, only the grades of students who pass the course will be used.*

- G: Öğrencilerin ilgili program çıktısını kazanacağı öngörülen minimum not / *G: Minimum grade at which students attain the relevant program outcome*
- T: Dersin ilgili program çıktısının kazanımı için yeterli sayılacağı eşik öğrenci yüzdesi / *T: Threshold percentage of students for the course to be considered sufficient for the attainment of the relevant program outcome*
- M1: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az G olması / *M1: T% of the students to have a semester total of at least G*
- M2: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az bölümdeki dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması/ *M2: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least that of the department average*
- M3: Öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalamasının en az G olması / *M3: Average semester total of students of the department to be at least G*
- M4: Öğrencilerin %T'sinin dönem toplamlarının en az tüm bölümlerdeki tüm öğrencilerin dönem toplamlarının ortalaması kadar olması / *M4: T% of the students of the department to have a semester total of at least average semester total of all students from all departments*

4.2.2. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜMLERİNDE KULLANILAN METOTLAR VE PERFORMANS SONUÇ DETAYLARI / METHODS USED IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RESULT DETAILS

4.2.2.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
ADA 131 - Mimari Çizim / ADA 131 - Architectural Drawing													
d	M1	60	70	55	9	79.09	82.48	50	8	90.91	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
k	M1	60	70	55	9	79.09	82.48	50	8	90.91	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
ADA 134 - Dijital Medyayla Tasarım / ADA 134 - Designing with Digital Media													
d	M3	60		31	6	80.46	77.83	31	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.83
k	M3	60		31	6	80.46	77.83	31	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.83
ADA 263 - Mimarlık Tarihi I / ADA 263 - History of Built Environment I													
h	M1	54	70	173	12	77.12	80.67	172	12	99.42	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
j	M1	54	70	173	12	77.12	80.67	172	12	99.42	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
COMD 358 - Profesyonel İletişim / COMD 358 - Professional Communication													
d	M1	60	70	501	12	83.99	80.89	493	12	98.4	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
f	M1	60	70	501	12	83.99	80.89	493	12	98.4	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
k	M1	60	70	501	12	83.99	80.89	493	12	98.4	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	60	70	501	12	83.99	80.89	493	12	98.4	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
ENG 101 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / ENG 101 - English and Composition I													
b	M3	60		1702	19	82.4	86.79	1681	19	98.77	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.79
d	M3	60		1702	19	82.4	86.79	1681	19	98.77	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.79
l	M3	60		1702	19	82.4	86.79	1681	19	98.77	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.79
ENG 102 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / ENG 102 - English and Composition II													
b	M3	60		616	6	86.42	82.71	616	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.71
d	M3	60		616	6	86.42	82.71	616	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.71
l	M3	60		616	6	86.42	82.71	616	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.71
FA 101 - Temel Tasarım I / FA 101 - Basic Design I													
b	M3	55		190	12	60.33	69.3	120	10	63.16	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	69.3
d	M3	55		190	12	60.33	69.3	120	10	63.16	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	69.3
i	M3	55		190	12	60.33	69.3	120	10	63.16	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	69.3
FA 171 - Sanat, Tasarım ve Kültüre Giriş I / FA 171 - Introduction to Art, Design and Culture I													
i	M3	60		401	10	75.67	72.42	339	7	84.54	70	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	72.42
j	M3	60		401	10	75.67	72.42	339	7	84.54	70	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	72.42
GE 100 - Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / GE 100 - Orientation													
f	M1	12	80	1678	19	97.32	98.42	1678	19	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
i	M1	12	80	1678	19	97.32	98.42	1678	19	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	12	80	1678	19	97.32	98.42	1678	19	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu/ Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı/ Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
GE 251 - Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II/ GE 251 - Collegiate Activities Program II													
f	M1	70	70	974	8	92.76	90.63	898	7	92.2	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
i	M1	70	70	974	8	92.76	90.63	898	7	92.2	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
n	M1	70	70	974	8	92.76	90.63	898	7	92.2	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
HIST 200 - Türkiye Tarihi / HIST 200 - History of Turkey													
c	M1	70	75	1036	9	93.59	88.71	1026	8	99.03	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
d	M1	70	75	1036	9	93.59	88.71	1026	8	99.03	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
l	M1	70	75	1036	9	93.59	88.71	1026	8	99.03	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
m	M1	70	75	1036	9	93.59	88.71	1026	8	99.03	88.89	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.89
HUM 111 - Kùltürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I/ HUM 111 - Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I													
h	M1	60	75	1139	8	84.61	75.36	1135	8	99.65	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	60	75	1139	8	84.61	75.36	1135	8	99.65	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	60	75	1139	8	84.61	75.36	1135	8	99.65	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
HUM 112 - Kùltürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler II/ HUM 112 - Cultures Civilizations and Ideas II													
h	M1	60	75	287	6	84.87	77.16	285	5	99.3	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.33
l	M1	60	75	287	6	84.87	77.16	285	5	99.3	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.33
n	M1	60	75	287	6	84.87	77.16	285	5	99.3	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.33
LAUD 201 - Tasarım Stüdyosu I: Arazi Tasarımı / LAUD 201 - Design Studio I: Site Design													
a	M3	60		12	12	80.63	80.63	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.63
b	M3	60		12	12	80.63	80.63	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.63
c	M3	60		12	12	80.63	80.63	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.63
d	M3	60		12	12	80.63	80.63	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.63
g	M3	60		12	12	80.63	80.63	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.63

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
LAUD 221 - Kentsel Kavramlara Giriş / LAUD 221 - Introduction to Urban Concepts													
a	M3	60		12	12	83.92	83.92	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.92
b	M3	60		12	12	83.92	83.92	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.92
d	M3	60		12	12	83.92	83.92	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.92
LAUD 242 - Türkiye'nin Florası / LAUD 242 - Flora of Turkey													
g	M3	60		12	12	88.52	88.52	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.52
h	M3	60		12	12	88.52	88.52	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.52
j	M3	60		12	12	88.52	88.52	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.52
LAUD 301 - Tasarım Stüdyosu III: Küçük Şehir / LAUD 301 - Design Studio III: Small Town													
a	M3	60		13	13	78.53	78.53	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.53
b	M3	60		13	13	78.53	78.53	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.53
c	M3	60		13	13	78.53	78.53	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.53
e	M3	60		13	13	78.53	78.53	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.53
h	M3	60		13	13	78.53	78.53	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.53
k	M3	60		13	13	78.53	78.53	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.53
LAUD 401 - Mezuniyet Tasarım Stüdyosu I: Açık Alan Örgüsü / LAUD 401 - Senior Design Studio I: Open Space Network													
a	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
b	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
c	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
LAUD 401 - Mezuniyet Tasarım Stüdyosu I: Açık Alan Örgüsü / LAUD 401 - Senior Design Studio I: Open Space Network													
d	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
e	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
h	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
j	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
k	M3	60		5	5	80.64	80.64	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.64
LAUD 471 - Kent Sosyolojisi / LAUD 471 - Urban Sociology													
e	M3	60		9	8	78.9	78.02	8	7	88.89	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.02
h	M3	60		9	8	78.9	78.02	8	7	88.89	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.02
j	M3	60		9	8	78.9	78.02	8	7	88.89	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	78.02
LAUD 481 - Peyzaj Ekolojisi Stüdyosu / LAUD 481 - Landscape Ecology Studio													
g	M3	60		4	4	77.05	77.05	4	4	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.05
l	M3	60		4	4	77.05	77.05	4	4	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.05
MATH 105 - Matematiğe Giriş I / MATH 105 - Introduction to Calculus I													
b	M3	60		370	4	62.72	48.13	206	1	55.68	25	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	48.13
d	M3	60		370	4	62.72	48.13	206	1	55.68	25	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	48.13
i	M3	60		370	4	62.72	48.13	206	1	55.68	25	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	48.13
l	M3	60		370	4	62.72	48.13	206	1	55.68	25	İyileştirmeye Açık! / Insufficient!	48.13

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Treshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
TURK 101 - Türkçe I / TURK 101 - Turkish I													
i	M1	70	60	1541	17	88.03	90.45	1519	17	98.57	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	70	60	1541	17	88.03	90.45	1519	17	98.57	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	70	60	1541	17	88.03	90.45	1519	17	98.57	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
TURK 102 - Türkçe II / TURK 102 - Turkish II													
i	M1	70	30	637	3	90.42	86.76	626	3	98.27	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	70	30	637	3	90.42	86.76	626	3	98.27	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	70	30	637	3	90.42	86.76	626	3	98.27	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

4.2.2.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Spring Semester;

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
ADA 131 - Mimari Çizim / ADA 131 - Architectural Drawing													
d	M3	60		16	1	79.45	72.09	16	1	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	72.09
k	M3	60		16	1	79.45	72.09	16	1	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	72.09
ADA 134 - Dijital Medyayla Tasarım / ADA 134 - Designing with Digital Media													
d	M3	60		41	8	84.51	82.78	40	8	97.56	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.78
k	M3	60		41	8	84.51	82.78	40	8	97.56	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	82.78
ADA 264 - Mimarlık Tarihi II / ADA 264 - History of Built Environment II													
h	M3	60		195	12	74.03	67.37	165	7	84.62	58.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	67.37
j	M3	60		195	12	74.03	67.37	165	7	84.62	58.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	67.37
COMD 358 - Profesyonel İletişim / COMD 358 - Professional Communication													
d	M1	60	70	392	3	84.56	76.93	388	3	98.98	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
f	M1	60	70	392	3	84.56	76.93	388	3	98.98	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
k	M1	60	70	392	3	84.56	76.93	388	3	98.98	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	60	70	392	3	84.56	76.93	388	3	98.98	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
ENG 101 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon I / ENG 101 - English and Composition I													
b	M3	60		649	3	83.22	77.6	641	3	98.77	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.6
d	M3	60		649	3	83.22	77.6	641	3	98.77	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.6
l	M3	60		649	3	83.22	77.6	641	3	98.77	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	77.6
ENG 102 - İngilizce ve Kompozisyon II / ENG 102 - English and Composition II													
b	M1	70	70	1495	15	86.43	87.72	1456	15	97.39	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
d	M1	70	70	1495	15	86.43	87.72	1456	15	97.39	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	70	70	1495	15	86.43	87.72	1456	15	97.39	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
FA 102 - Temel Tasarım II / FA 102 - Basic Design II													
b	M3	55		177	12	67.57	71.09	132	10	74.58	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	71.09
d	M3	55		177	12	67.57	71.09	132	10	74.58	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	71.09
i	M3	55		177	12	67.57	71.09	132	10	74.58	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	71.09
FA 171 - Sanat, Tasarım ve Kültüre Giriş I / FA 171 - Introduction to Art, Design and Culture I													
i	M1	50	60	315	3	80.69	78.36	307	3	97.46	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
j	M1	50	60	315	3	80.69	78.36	307	3	97.46	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
GE 100 - Üniversite Hayatına Giriş / GE 100 - Orientation													
f	M1	12	80	499	2	96.41	100	499	2	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
i	M1	12	80	499	2	96.41	100	499	2	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	12	80	499	2	96.41	100	499	2	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
GE 251 - Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / GE 251 - Collegiate Activities Program II													
f	M1	70	70	1344	7	92.87	80.71	1244	6	92.56	85.71	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	85.71
i	M1	70	70	1344	7	92.87	80.71	1244	6	92.56	85.71	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	85.71
n	M1	70	70	1344	7	92.87	80.71	1244	6	92.56	85.71	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	85.71
GE 251 - Üniversite Etkinlik Programı II / HIST 200 - History of Turkey													
c	M1	70	75	959	4	93.22	90.13	949	4	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
d	M1	70	75	959	4	93.22	90.13	949	4	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	70	75	959	4	93.22	90.13	949	4	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
m	M1	70	75	959	4	93.22	90.13	949	4	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
HUM 111 - Kùltürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler I / HUM 111 - Cultures Civilizations and Ideas I													
h	M1	60	75	472	6	82.29	75.13	472	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	60	75	472	6	82.29	75.13	472	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	60	75	472	6	82.29	75.13	472	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
HUM 112 - Kùltürler, Medeniyetler ve Düşünceler II / HUM 112 - Cultures Civilizations and Ideas II													
h	M1	60	75	1010	6	85.5	71.72	1006	5	99.6	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.33
l	M1	60	75	1010	6	85.5	71.72	1006	5	99.6	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.33
n	M1	60	75	1010	6	85.5	71.72	1006	5	99.6	83.33	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.33
IAED 322 - İnsan ve Çevre / IAED 322 - People and Environment													
h	M1	50	70	43	9	79.02	80.37	43	9	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	50	70	43	9	79.02	80.37	43	9	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
LAUD 202 - Tasarım Stüdyosu II: Konut Çevresi / LAUD 202 - Design Studio II: Housing													
a	M3	60		11	11	80.61	80.61	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.61
b	M3	60		11	11	80.61	80.61	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.61
c	M3	60		11	11	80.61	80.61	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.61
e	M3	60		11	11	80.61	80.61	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.61
g	M3	60		11	11	80.61	80.61	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.61
j	M3	60		11	11	80.61	80.61	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.61
LAUD 241 - Peyzaj Bitkileri / LAUD 241 - Landscape Plants													
g	M3	60		14	11	80.29	80.55	13	11	92.86	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.55
LAUD 252 - Arazi Tasarım Teknikleri / LAUD 252 - Site Design Techniques													
b	M3	60		13	13	91.69	91.69	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	91.69
k	M3	60		13	13	91.69	91.69	13	13	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	91.69

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
LAUD 302 - Tasarım Stüdyosu IV: Kent Merkezi / LAUD 302 - Design Studio IV: City Center													
a	M3	60		11	11	80.81	80.81	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.81
b	M3	60		11	11	80.81	80.81	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.81
c	M3	60		11	11	80.81	80.81	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.81
e	M3	60		11	11	80.81	80.81	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.81
h	M3	60		11	11	80.81	80.81	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.81
k	M3	60		11	11	80.81	80.81	11	11	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	80.81
LAUD 342 - Bitkisel Tasarım Stüdyosu / LAUD 342 - Planting Design Studio													
d	M3	60		12	12	83.43	83.43	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.43
g	M3	60		12	12	83.43	83.43	12	12	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	83.43
LAUD 372 - Kentsel Çevrenin Analizi / LAUD 372 - Analysis of Urban Environment													
b	M3	60		10	10	86.16	86.16	10	10	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.16
h	M3	60		10	10	86.16	86.16	10	10	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	86.16
LAUD 402 - Mezuniyet Tasarım Stüdyosu II: Mezuniyet Projeleri / LAUD 402 - Senior Design Studio II: Graduation Projects													
a	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
b	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
c	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
d	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
e	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
h	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
j	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71
k	M3	60		5	5	84.71	84.71	5	5	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	84.71

Program Çıktısı/ Program Outcome	Yeterlilik Hesaplama Yöntemi/ Method	(Ortalama) Yeterlilik Notu / Minimum Successful Grade	Yeterlilik Eşiği (%) / Threshold Percentage (%)	Toplam Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (All)	Toplam Dept. Öğrenci Sayısı / Number of Students (Dept.)	Tüm Öğrenci Ort. / Average (All Std.)	Dept. Öğrenci Ort. / Average (Dept. Std.)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Toplam) / Number of Succ. Students (All)	Yeterliliği Sağlayan Öğrenci Sayısı (Dept.) / Number of Succ. Students (Dept.)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Toplam Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (All)	Yeterlilik Oranı (Bölüm Öğrenci) / Success Ratio (Dept.)	Performans / Performance	Yeterlilik Oranı / Success Ratio
LAUD 404 - Mezuniyet Projesi Araştırması / LAUD 404 - Senior Design Research													
f	M3	60		6	6	88.18	88.18	6	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.18
h	M3	60		6	6	88.18	88.18	6	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.18
l	M3	60		6	6	88.18	88.18	6	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.18
m	M3	60		6	6	88.18	88.18	6	6	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	88.18
LAUD 418 - Mesleki Uygulama / LAUD 418 - Professional Practice													
f	M3	60		7	7	85.14	85.14	7	7	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	85.14
k	M3	60		7	7	85.14	85.14	7	7	100	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	85.14
MATH 105 - Matematik Giriş I / MATH 105 - Introduction to Calculus I													
b	M1	40	40	203	8	54.08	46.13	142	7	69.95	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
d	M1	40	40	203	8	54.08	46.13	142	7	69.95	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
i	M1	40	40	203	8	54.08	46.13	142	7	69.95	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
l	M1	40	40	203	8	54.08	46.13	142	7	69.95	87.5	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	87.5
TURK 101 - Türkçe I / TURK 101 - Turkish I													
i	M1	70	60	601	2	86.62	79.54	587	2	97.67	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	70	60	601	2	86.62	79.54	587	2	97.67	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	70	60	601	2	86.62	79.54	587	2	97.67	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
TURK 102 - Türkçe II / TURK 102 - Turkish II													
i	M1	70	30	1446	14	88.83	89.01	1431	14	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
l	M1	70	30	1446	14	88.83	89.01	1431	14	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100
n	M1	70	30	1446	14	88.83	89.01	1431	14	98.96	100	Yeterli ✓ / Sufficient ✓	100

4.3. PERFORMANS ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARI / PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

4.3.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS TABLOSU / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE TABLE

4.3.1.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Fall Semester;

Dersler/ Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes													
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n
ADA 131				88.89							88.89			
ADA 134				77.83							77.83			
ADA 263								100		100				
COMD 358				100		100					100	100		
ENG 101		86.79		86.79								86.79		
ENG 102		82.71		82.71								82.71		
FA 101		69.3		69.3					69.3					
FA 171									72.42	72.42				
GE 100						100			100					100
GE 251						87.5			87.5					87.5
HIST 200			88.89	88.89								88.89	88.89	
HUM 111								100				100		100
HUM 112								83.33				83.33		83.33
LAUD 201	80.63	80.63	80.63	80.63			80.63							
LAUD 221	83.92	83.92		83.92										
LAUD 242							88.52	88.52		88.52				
LAUD 301	78.53	78.53	78.53		78.53			78.53			78.53			
LAUD 401	80.64	80.64	80.64	80.64	80.64			80.64		80.64	80.64			
LAUD 471					78.02			78.02		78.02				
LAUD 481							77.05					77.05		
MATH 105		48.13		48.13					48.13			48.13		
TURK 101									100			100		100
TURK 102									100			100		100

Tablo.4.3.1.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Tablosu / **Table.4.3.1.1.** 2024-2025 Academic Year Fall Semester Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Table


4.3.1.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year
Spring Semester;

Dersler / Courses	Program Çıktıları / Program Outcomes													
	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	l	m	n
ADA 131				72.09							72.09			
ADA 134				82.78							82.78			
ADA 264								67.37		67.37				
COMD 358				100		100					100	100		
ENG 101		77.6		77.6								77.6		
ENG 102		100		100								100		
FA 102		71.09		71.09					71.09					
FA 171									100	100				
GE 100						100			100					100
GE 251						85.71			85.71					85.71
HIST 200			100	100								100	100	
HUM 111								100				100		100
HUM 112								83.33				83.33		83.33
IAED 322								100				100		
LAUD 202	80.61	80.61	80.61		80.61		80.61			80.61				
LAUD 241							80.55							
LAUD 252		91.69									91.69			
LAUD 302	80.81	80.81	80.81		80.81			80.81			80.81			
LAUD 342				83.43			83.43							
LAUD 372		86.16						86.16						
LAUD 402	84.71	84.71	84.71	84.71	84.71			84.71		84.71	84.71			
LAUD 404						88.18		88.18				88.18	88.18	
LAUD 418						85.14					85.14			
MATH 105		87.5		87.5					87.5			87.5		
TURK 101									100			100		100
TURK 102									100			100		100

Tablo.4.3.1.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Tablosu / **Table.4.3.1.2.** 2024-2025 Academic Year Spring Semester Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Table

4.3.2. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI PERFORMANS ANALİZLERİ / PROGRAM OUTCOMES PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS


4.3.2.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Fall Semester;



Tablo.4.3.2.1. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Güz Dönemi Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Analizleri Tablosu (Dersler için) / **Table.4.3.2.1.** 2024-2025 Academic Year Fall Semester Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Analysis Table (For Courses)

	MATH 105 için b, d, i ve l program çıktılarında yeterlilik kriteri sağlanamamıştır. / The qualification criteria for MATH 105, program outcomes b, d, i and l were insufficient.
---	---

4.3.2.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi için / For 2024-2025 Academic Year Spring Semester;



Tablo.4.3.2.2. 2024-2025 Akademik Yılı Bahar Dönemi Kentsel Tasarım ve Peyzaj Mimarlığı Lisans Programı Program Çıktıları Performans Analizleri Tablosu (Dersler için) / **Table.4.3.2.2.** 2024-2025 Academic Year Spring Semester Urban Design and Landscape Architecture Undergraduate Program - Program Outcomes Performance Analysis Table (For Courses)

5. DEĞERLENDİRME / EVALUATION

5.1. PROGRAM ÇIKTILARI ÖLÇÜM SONUÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Within the scope of Quality Management in Education activities, the courses measured during the 2024-2025 Academic Year demonstrated that all department courses achieved a 100% success rate in meeting the established competency criteria for program outcomes. The performance of all program outcomes is quite good, and it was determined that program outcomes a, c, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n successfully met the determined competency criteria.

However, the targeted performance level could not be reached in MATH 105 course for the achievement of program outcomes b, d, i and l. This situation emerged because the aforementioned program outcomes are also measured through MATH 105 course. Program outcomes were completely successful in courses offered by the department, while program outcomes were successfully completed in courses offered by other departments except for MATH 105. In this context, communication will be established with the MATH Department in the upcoming semester to initiate a dialogue on the failure level of LAUD students in this course, and strategies will be developed to raise the success level.

Within the scope of Quality Management in Education studies, performance results for courses measured in the 2024-2025 Academic Year were evaluated and it was observed that the competency criteria determined for program outcomes were generally met.

5.2. EĞİTİM AMAÇLARININ DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ / EVALUATION OF EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVES

The 2024-2025 Academic Year was dedicated to comprehensive curriculum review and program outcome evaluations, with educational objectives assessment planned as part of the upcoming advisory board activities.

The LAUD Department has a comprehensive advisory board that includes stakeholders from various sectors including academia, industry, domestic and international contexts, comprising both LAUD graduates and non-graduates. The LAUD Department is currently working on the curriculum and conducting discussions for updates. Upon completion of these studies, the advisory board will be convened to gather their opinions and include their ideas for updating and enriching educational objectives to align them with contemporary issues. The advisory board meeting is planned to be held in the Fall semester of the 2025-2026 academic year.

In this context, meetings were held with student representatives, and their perceptions of how they understand the department's current educational objectives as well as their future-oriented suggestions were taken into consideration. This feedback will also be used for the department's program restructuring.

A more comprehensive evaluation process is targeted to be initiated in the upcoming academic year with systematic collection of advisory board members' opinions.